

Mr A Wright

Title:	Mr
Forename:	Adrian
Surname:	Wright
Name and title under which you would like this response to appear:	Mr A Wright
Representing:	Self
Organisation (if applicable):	
Email:	<i>[Removed]</i>
What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:	Keep nothing confidential
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:	
Ofcom may publish a response summary:	Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:	Yes
Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:	You may publish my response on receipt

Question 1: Do respondents consider that the regulatory remedies put in place in the 2003/04 market review were effective in counterbalancing BT's and Kingston's SMP in the relevant markets?

No not in Kingstons area there is still no other provider.

Question 2: do respondents agree with Ofcom's definition of the retail asymmetric broadband internet access market in the UK?:

Yes

Question 3: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's definition of the wholesale broadband access product market?:

Yes

Question 4: Do respondents agree that the Hull area should be defined as a separate geographic market on the basis of the presence of common pricing constraints?:

If this means that we will get more choice.

Question 5: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's methodology for assessing geographic variations in the competitive conditions in the wholesale broadband access product market?:

If this means that we will get more choice.

Question 6: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's analytical framework for defining geographic markets in the UK (excluding the Hull area) and the conclusions reached?:

Yes

Question 7: Do respondents agree that Ofcom has used relevant criteria for assessing SMP in the markets defined?:

Yes

Question 8: Do respondents agree with the approach set-out by Ofcom for its market power assessment in the Hull area and its conclusion of finding Kingston to have SMP?:

Yes

Question 9: Do respondents agree with the approach set-out by Ofcom for its market power assessment in Market 1 and its conclusion of finding BT to have SMP?:

Yes

Question 10: Do respondents agree with the approach set-out by Ofcom for its market power assessment in Market 2 and its conclusion of finding BT to have SMP?:

Yes

Question 11: Do respondents agree with the approach set-out by Ofcom for its market power assessment in Market 3?:

Yes

Question 12: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's proposed regulatory remedies on Kingston in relation to the market for wholesale broadband access in the Hull area?:

Yes we need to see other lsp's in Hull to provide alternatives to Karoo, Karoo at present has no value of its current customers as it knows we have no were else to go.

Question 13: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's proposed regulatory remedies on BT in relation to the market for wholesale broadband access in Market 1 and if so are there any particular implementation or compliance issues that you believe needs to be considered?:

Yes

Question 14: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's proposed regulatory remedies on BT in relation to the market for wholesale broadband access in Market 2 and if so are there any particular implementation or compliance issues that you believe needs to be considered?:

Yes

Question 15: Do respondents agree that the alternative broadband technologies referred to in this annex are unlikely to be sufficiently widespread or utilised within the period of this review to constrain prices in the market for wholesale broadband access services?:

Yes

Additional comments:

It is time for change in Hull and due to K.c's monopoly in Hull we are getting no choice not only on broadband but telephone services. We need ofcoms help to rectify this situation.