

Name and title under which you would like this response to appear:

David Tumore

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep nothing confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

You may publish my response on receipt

Question 1: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposed overall approach to improving the management of public sector spectrum holdings and, in particular, with Ofcom's conclusion that it will generally be preferable for public sector bodies to interact directly with the market?:

NO

Question 2: What factors do you consider Ofcom should take into account in determining the programme of reform in the framework for managing public sector spectrum holdings?:

Marine VHF and Radar Bands for Marine Use must be protected and not sold off.

Question 3: Do you consider that the proposals should be phased in?:

NO

Question 4: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals about the frequency bands that offer the greatest potential benefits from band sharing? Are there other frequency bands where the facility to trade or lease spectrum from public sector bodies would be particularly attractive?:

Question 5: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposed approach to awarding public sector licences and RSA?:

NO

Question 6: Should public sector spectrum trading be introduced at this stage in the Channel Islands and Isle of Man?:

NO

Question 7: Should there be additional grounds, eg safety-related, for Ofcom to refuse consent to a proposed trade in certain frequency bands or for certain applications?:

Safety of marine traffic re: proposal to include international marine VHF and Radar bands in sell off.

Comments:

The very idea of compromising safety of marine traffic to allow the Government to make another 'quick buck' at the expense of the safety of marine traffic is ridiculous. Additional accidents and collisions will be the inevitable consequence of such short-sighted proposals.