

Mr Michael Myers

Title:	<i>Mr</i>
Forename:	<i>Michael</i>
Surname:	<i>Myers</i>
Representing:	<i>Self</i>
Organisation (if applicable):	
Email:	<i>[Removed]</i>
What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?	<i>Keep nothing confidential</i>
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?	
Ofcom may publish a response summary	<i>Yes</i>
I confirm that I have read the declaration	<i>Yes</i>
Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended	<i>You may publish my response on receipt</i>

Question 1: Do respondents have any comments, additional to those made in their responses to the November consultation, on Ofcom's approach and conclusions on market definition as set out in Section 3 of this document?

No, KCOM seems to be bullet proof when it comes to these consultations. Why is it that Ofcom go out of its way to protect KCOM, Ofcom should be looking out for the consumer and not continuing to protect PLCs like KCOM.

Question 2: Do respondents have any comments, additional to those made in their responses to the November consultation, on Ofcom's proposed market power findings for the Hull area, Market 1 or Market 2?

Yes, I believe KCOM in Hull still have much more of a hold on the market than in the wider UK market and BT traditional areas. Customers in Hull are sick and tired of being ignored by Ofcom when it comes to ensuring there is fair and open competition in Hull.

Question 3: Do respondents agree with the approach set out by Ofcom for its market power assessment in Market 3 and its conclusion that there is no-SMP?

Yes, where no SMP exists, then free and open competition can flourish.

Question 4: Do respondents have any comments, additional to those made in their response to the November consultation, on Ofcom's proposed regulatory remedies for the Hull area Market 1 or Market 2?

With Hull and the traditional KC network being around ~90,000 broadband users no other companies are willing to compete on the unfair footing which gives most of the power to KCOM. Something needs to be do in addressing this unfair balance. The charges imposed by KCOM for unbundling should be changed to encourage open and fair competition in the Hull area.

Question 5: Do respondents agree with Ofcom's proposals in relation to providing affected parties with a period of notice prior to the removal of certain SMP services conditions in Market 3? In particular do respondents agree with the proposed notice period and the proposed SMP service conditions to which the notice period applies?

Additional comments

I hope someday that Ofcom will actually support the consumer in Hull and East Yorkshire, at the moment the consensus here seems to be that Ofcom is only interested in keeping KCOM happy!