

**Title:**

**Forename:**

**Surname:**

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Email:**

**What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:**

You may publish my response on receipt

**Question 1: Do you agree that these proposed regulatory objectives strike an appropriate balance between the duties and other considerations that Ofcom must take account in reviewing advertising regulation? If not, please explain why, and what objectives you would consider more appropriate?:**

No

**Question 2: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should discontinue detailed genre-specific rules on natural breaks?:**

No

**Question 3: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should allow advertising and teleshopping breaks to be signalled in sound or vision or by spatial means, and should drop the requirement for teleshopping segments to be distinguished from programmes by both sound and vision?:**

Yes

**Question 4: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should discontinue the requirement for a buffer between advertising and coverage of a religious service or Royal occasion?:**

Yes

**Question 5: Do stakeholders agree that the rule requiring a 20-minute interval between advertising breaks should be scrapped?:**

No

**Question 6: Do stakeholders agree that there should be limits on the number of advertising breaks within programmes of a given scheduled duration?:**

Yes - the current regulations offer a good balance.

The profits made by commercial TV organisation show this. In the US it has been proved that too many commercial breaks cause frustrations, anger and indifference to the network. The US has become a channel hoppers paradise.

**Question 7: Has Ofcom identified the right options for break frequencies? What issues should Ofcom take into account in formulating proposals for consultation?:**

No

There should be a national public consultation - using the TV to ask the public what they think.

This should be a set simple - to the point!! -questions rather than this form!!

**Question 8: Do stakeholders agree that the restrictions on advertising in films, documentaries and religious programmes and children?s programming should be relaxed to the extent permitted by the AVMS Directive? :**

Too much Advertising is like brain washing -

**Question 9: Do stakeholders agree that changes to the rules on advertising breaks in news and children?s programmes that must be made to secure compliance with the AVMS Directive should be deferred until December 2009?:**

No - the current rules are too lax and Oddcom (a good name for this organisation ) does not have the best interests of the public to heart!

**Question 10: Do stakeholders agree that:**

- a. the Code should make clear that advertisements are permitted between schools programmes?

- b. the requirement for a buffer between coverage of a religious service or Royal occasion and advertising should be discontinued?
- c. the rule prohibiting advertising after an epilogue should be discontinued? and
- d. the rule allowing Ofcom to exclude adverts from specified programmes should be discontinued?

:

- a. School programs are for learning - not for selling
- b. What happened to common decency
- c. All adverts should be restricted to after the epilog.
- d. This rule should be strengthened

**Question 11: Do stakeholders agree that the rules limiting the length of individual advertisements on PSB channels should be discontinued?:**

No - PSB is Public Service - not a commercial profit

**Question 12: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should discontinue rules on the length of breaks on PSB channels?:**

No see 11 above

**Question 13: Do stakeholders agree that the draft Code should establish the principle that the distinction between advertising and editorial content must be readily recognisable, and set out the means for doing this, but avoid more prescriptive rules?:**

yes - all adverts should carry a warning label stating that the content(truthfulness) of the advert cannot be guaranteed

**Question 14: Do stakeholders agree that the current arrangements for transferring unused minutage should remain in place, and be applied to Channel 4 in place of the special arrangements in respect of schools programmes?:**

No

**Question 15: What views do stakeholders have on the possible approaches to advertising minutage regulation outlined above?:**

Put it to the public - but use words that are in general use by the public (cannot find minutage in my dictionary)

**Question 16: What views do stakeholders have on the teleshopping options and preliminary assessment outlined above in relation to non-PSB channels?:**

Teleshopping should be banned

**Question 17: What views do stakeholders have on the teleshopping options and preliminary assessment outlined above in relation to PSB channels?:**

as above

**Question IA1: Do you agree with this overview of the impact of the current rules? Do you agree with our starting hypothesis in respect of the extent to which the current rules are likely to impose a constraint on different broadcasters i.e. PSBs and non-PSBs? If not, please set out your reasoning.:**

Just follow the US - put on a blindfold and teach our children and young people that all that matters is making a profit - or killing people.

Unfortunately the idiot who set these questions won't be alive to see the results (about 50 years)

**Question IA2: Do you agree with the broad assessment of the impact on different stakeholders of changes to the rules on the distribution of TV advertising set out in Part 2? If not, please set out your reasoning.:**

No -

**Question IA3: Do you consider that our optimisation approach is a reasonable approximation as to how additional advertising minutage would be used by broadcasters in practice? If not, please set out how you would approach this modelling issue and what assumptions you would adopt.:**

No

I would asked those that watch the TV

**Question IA4: Do you consider dividing non-PSB channels into the three categories of "sold out", "nearly sold out" and "unsold inventory" reflects the realities of the TV advertising market for non-PSB channels. If not, how would you suggest we approach this issue in modelling terms?:**

nc

**Question IA5: Do you agree that the assumptions of no drop-off effect is a reasonable assumption to make for the purposes of this modelling exercise? If you disagree, please explain your reasoning and provide data to support any alternative assumptions that you would use.:**

nc

**Question IA6: Do you consider that this range of scenarios is appropriate? Are there any other types of scenarios that you believe we should explore as part of our modelling work?:**

Yes but in plain english

**Question IA7: Is the modelling of the changes in the volume of commercial impacts/share of commercial impacts for these different scenarios broadly in line with any modelling work you have carried out? If not, we would be**

interested to understand what results you have obtained in modelling these scenarios.:

nc

**Question IA8: To what extent do you think that is reasonable to assume a constant price premium in light of changes to minutage restrictions? If you think that this could be unreasonable, please set out what you think might happen and how that could be modelled.:**

**Question IA9: To what extent do you think that this approach would be a reasonable modelling approach to adopt?:**

**Question IA10: To what extent do you think that is reasonable to make use of the elasticity estimates derived from the PwC study? Are they in line with your own views as to the operation of the TV advertising market? If not, please explain your reasoning.:**

**Question IA11: To what extent is there evidence to support the argument that an increase in advertising minutage could reduce overall advertising expenditure on TV, i.e. that the advertising market is inelastic?:**

**Question IA12: To what extent do you consider that these estimates of the financial impact of changes to the rules on the amount of advertising minutage provide an indication of the potential overall scale of any changes as well as the distribution of the impact between PSBs and non-PSBs? Are they in line with your own views as to how the TV advertising market would adjust to such changes? If not, please explain your reasoning.:**

**Question IA13: The discussion of the modelling approach set out above has focused on the potential impact on different types of broadcasters. To what extent could there be an impact on other stakeholders, particularly media buying agencies and their clients, the advertisers? What is the attitude of these stakeholders to changes in the volume of advertising minutage?:**

**Question IA14: Do stakeholders agree with the analysis of the impact of these options on non-PSB channels? If not, please set out your reasons, providing evidence to support your analysis wherever possible.:**

**Question IA15: Do stakeholders agree with our analysis of the impact on PSB channels of these three options? If not, please explain your reasons, providing evidence to support your analysis wherever possible.:**

**Additional comments:**

99% of the population would not understand 90% of your questions - It is obvious that these questions are designed for people closely connected to the industry. Your results will be greatly biased as they are not being presented to the public but to a select few - so we can expect the results to be a foregone conclusion. Why do we have to follow the US in every way. Go to a primary school and listen to the four and five year olds and ask them about the jingles they sing - you would be very surprised. Today just after 9pm I saw an advert for cheap beer at Morrisons on ITV - That was probably watched by 7 year olds. Look at childrens TV - all the

adverts are aimed at very very young children for rubbish that makes both the TV companies and manufacturers vast profits selling junk to our children.