Sent:	24 September 2009 15:40
To: Subject:	aeromar2ndconsult Responding to the Applying spectrum pricing to the maritime sector, and new arrangements for the management of spectrum used for radar and aeronautical navigation aids consultation
Title:	
Mr	
Forename:	
Neil	
Surname:	
Thomas	
Representing:	
Organisation	
Organisation (if applicable):	
ACPO (Association of C	Chief Police Officers)
Email:	
neil.thomas@nthwales.p	onn.police.uk
What do you want (Ofcom to keep confidential?:
Keep nothing confidenti	al
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:	
Ofcom may publish	a response summary:
Yes	
I confirm that I have	e read the declaration:
Yes	

webform@ofcom.org.uk

From:

Of com should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

Yes

Comments:

Question 1: Do you consider that the fee rates set out in Table 8 for assignments in the eight core international maritime simplex channels are appropriate?:

Agree

Question 2: Do our revised proposals reflect appropriately the distinctions between the different uses of particular internationally allocated maritime channels, as set out in Table 9:

Agree

Question 3: Do you agree with our proposals not to set any fees for use of the calling and distress channels, the search and rescue channels, the AIS channels, or for exceptional shore-based use of the intership channels?:

Agree

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposals to set administrative cost-based fees for licences to use the package of 3 marina channels?:

No comment as this does not fall into the ACPO UK SAR domain as this is a maritime issue and primacy lies with MCA

Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set administrative costbased fees for licences to use the internationally-allocated duplex channels?:

No comment as above

Question 6: Do you consider that the fee rates set out in Tables 10 and 11 for assignments in the UK-allocated working channels (that is, not including the search and rescue or marina channels) are appropriate?:

No comment as this does not include search and rescue channels.

Question 7: Do our revised proposals correctly identify all of the UK allocated maritime channels which are assigned to specific applications which require a specific approach to fee setting, as set out in table 12:

Agree

Question 8: Do you agree with our proposal to set no fees to licensees for use of the two UK-allocated search and rescue channels?:

Agree

Question 9: If you are a maritime organisation with the safety of human life in an emergency as your sole or main objective, would you be interested in accessing spectrum for working purposes (ie other than SAR or other emergency response uses) under a private commons basis, shared with other users with the same objectives and co-ordinated by the MCA, and free of any spectrum fee?:

N/A

Question 10: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for areadefined licences(where feasible) in the eight core internationallyallocated maritime simplex channels are appropriate?:

Agree

Question 11: Do you agree that area-defined licences in the international duplex channels should be based on a minimum cost of £75 for 4 squares, with larger areas priced on a case by case basis? :

No comment.

Question 12: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for areadefined licences in the UK allocated working channels (that is, not including the search and rescue channels or the marina channel) are appropriate?:

Agree

Question 13: Do you agree with our proposal to set an administrative fee of £75 for maritime radio (suppliers and demonstration) licences?:

No comment

Question 14: Do you agree with our proposal to bring the arrangements for temporary maritime licences into line with those in other sectors?:

No comment

Question 15: Do our proposals for phasing in some of the proposed fee increases provide sufficient time for you to accommodate the additional

costs, without undue disruption to your operations which could reasonably be avoided by a phasing arrangement? We would like to be able to publish all responses to this question. However, if you wish your response to this question to remain confidential, please provide your response on a separate sheet clearly marked to that effect. Your request for confidentiality will be respected:

N/A

Question 16: Do you consider that our phasing proposals for the maritime licences for which we propose to set AIP-based fees are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider.:

No comment

Question 17: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 7? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:

No

Question 18: If the Government were to assume the strategic management role for the radar and aeronautical navigation aids spectrum that we propose, do you agree that we should not develop proposals for AIP licence fees?:

Agree