

Title:

Mr

Forename:

barry

Surname:

renehan

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep nothing confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

Yes

Additional comments:

this is yet another government quasi autonomous organisation,filling its boots at the publics expense,you dont provide any kind of service,your remit is purely for tax revinue raising.

Question 1: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for licences in the aeronautical VHF frequencies are appropriate?:

non of the proposals are appropriate.

Question 2: In devising our revised proposals, have we identified all of the aeronautical uses of VHF communications frequencies which require a distinct approach to fee setting, as set out in tables 5 and 6?:

your approach will seriously compromise safety as a lot of radio base stations will go off line because of the draconian price hikes you are proposing.

Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal not to charge any fees for Fire assignments?:

yes

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to set a £75 fee for licences in any of the sporting frequencies?:

no,the current tarif levels are sufficient.

Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set an annual fee of £19,800 per ACARS or VDL assignment, with no variation related to the number of transmitters?:

no,

Question 6: Do you consider that our proposed approach to phasing in fees for use of the aeronautical VHF communications channels are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider. Specifically, do you have any evidence for us to consider that would support either of Options 1 and 2 for the highest proposed fee in this sector?:

i consider your fees to be totaly inappropriate,this is another government tax raising scheme,yet more money to throw at wasteful government projects.

Question 7: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 5? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:

your proposals will exacerbate an already desperate financial situation in the light/sports aircraft markets.

Question 8: Do you consider that our assessment of the impacts of our proposals has taken full account of relevant factors? If you consider

that there is additional evidence that would indicate particular impacts we should take into account, we would be grateful if you could provide this.:

your impact assessment is based purely on the amount of extra revenue you can squeeze out of every facet of this already fragile market.