

**Title:**

Mr

**Forename:**

Neil

**Surname:**

Charlton

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Email:**

**What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:**

Keep nothing confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:**

You may publish my response on receipt

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for licences in the aeronautical VHF frequencies are appropriate?:**

No not at all. There is NO demand for these frequencies and they are co -ordinated europe wide.

**Question 2: In devising our revised proposals, have we identified all of the aeronautical uses of VHF communications frequencies which require a distinct approach to fee setting, as set out in tables 5 and 6?:**

There should be no fee setting . These frequencies are necessary for safety .

**Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal not to charge any fees for Fire assignments?:**

yes

**Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to set a £75 fee for licences in any of the sporting frequencies?:**

no

**Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set an annual fee of £19,800 per ACARS or VDL assignment, with no variation related to the number of transmitters?:**

no

**Question 6: Do you consider that our proposed approach to phasing in fees for use of the aeronautical VHF communications channels are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider. Specifically, do you have any evidence for us to consider that would support either of Options 1 and 2 for the highest proposed fee in this sector?:**

No not at all. There is no need to charge for these frequencies as you have not demonstrated a demand !

**Question 7: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 5? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:**

I see this charge as a government stealth TAX .  
Reduced radio cover = reduced safety.

I'm sure that will be the headline when someone dies as a result of reduced radio cover. All thanks to Ofcom.

**Question 8: Do you consider that our assessment of the impacts of our proposals has taken full account of relevant factors? If you consider**

**that there is additional evidence that would indicate particular impacts we should take into account, we would be grateful if you could provide this.:**

You have not adequately demonstrated the demand for these frequencies. You have not taken into account the safety implications.