

Title:

Mrs

Forename:

Sylvia

Surname:

Wright

Representing:

Self

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?

Keep nothing confidential

Additional comments:

I am a Parish Councillor in a village where there is much illness, which has long been believed to be greatly contributed to by the installation of numerous base stations. Many of them have been covertly upgraded and added to over recent years - with planning regulations often being brushed aside. The unacceptable practices of Mobile Operators are being overlooked - as is the health impact. If the proposed increase in levels is allowed - then we will undoubtedly see a rise in illness in the residents of my village. As Cancer Research UK commented to my District Council Scrutiny Committee "if there was a health risk, you would see a trend in statistics for associated illness and disease". We have seen this trend already - but it will no longer be possible to ignore this. I am proposing to instigate an official health survey to track this and will require the name of the Official(s) who ultimately put their name (or names) to the permission. We will prosecute those responsible under the Corporate Manslaughter Act 2008.

Question 1: Are there any reasonable grounds why Ofcom should not grant the request to vary the five Wireless Telegraphy Third Generation Mobile Licences by increasing the permitted maximum in-band EIRP to 68dBm as soon as practicable? If so, please explain your reasoning for this:

If this increase is allowed, at a time when other parts of the World are looking to reduce exposure of EMF in inhabitants/environment, OFCOM will be wilfully subjecting British subjects to a hazard from which they have no means of escape. Because the increased level will effectively increase indoor coverage - one's own home will no longer be a place of solace and peace which one can enjoy - for the sole consideration of boosting profits and expanding the operations of already successful corporate bodies. These companies are not a utility - this has already been established. I question the lawfulness of the proposal - OFCOM have, in my opinion, a clear conflict of interest as it is OFCOM who auction the frequencies - and have no expertise in considering anything other than technical data. Central Government, Local Government and associated Agencies, are all giving priority to corporate and fiscal interests over health and environment. This is (in my opinion very stupidly) discounting the rising cost

of health care for the increasing level of sickness and disease in our society - not to mention the criminal and terrorist activities which are greatly enhanced by these devices. I cannot believe that such a scandal could take place in today's "enlightened" times. A time when we are being told to take urgent measures to reduce environmental pollution and make energy savings by turning off a light bulb. The level of EMF in Britain does need to be urgently amended - downwards.

Question 2: Are there any reasonable grounds why Ofcom should not also apply the increased permitted maximum in-band EIRP to future 2 GHz MSS/CGC licences? If so, please explain your reasoning for this:

All of the foregoing