Additional comments:

1. I am concerned about the monopoly that seems to be shared by just one or two so called SERVICE providers for TV.

Most people I think would prefer a full package of programs in HD quality. My decisions were therefore, when I switched to digital TV based on full packages. I considered FreeSat and Sky as although Virgin had been previously supplying an inferior form of cable TV they had decided to cut off every body in the village of over a thousand dwellings without a replacement service. Having decided I would never knowingly pay money for a Virgin product again I had only two alternatives. Sky was asking a price bigger them my gas bill for a full package so I was forced to take up FreeSat. Having had freesat for just a few months I can say I am so glad I did not start paying Sky as freeSat does fulfill most of my viewing needs.

2. Regarding glass fibre cable internet connections. The government wants everbody to have access to a computer if they wish. I think that should be extended to a computer at glas fibre optical cable speeds. Any company that wants to provide glass fibre access should be obliged to provide to the unprofitable as well as profitable areas. Otherwise they will just look at a geographical area as the number of people living in the area directly translated via a formulae into how much profit can be made. Profit is good but not at "rip off" prices so often associated with the UK

Question 1: Have we got the scope right? Is the set of networks, services and operators we propose to report on appropriate and is our approach to data gathering and analysis correct?:

Seems so

Question 2: Do you agree with our approach to classifying different types of networks and services? Are there better ways to define them?:

Not thought about that

Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal to prioritise 2G mobile coverage and broadband speeds for the first report?:

Yes

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed reference date for the report as a date in June 2011 and are we allowing enough time for the provision of data?:

Not Sure

Question 5: How can we improve the comparability of data between different operators?:

Not thought about that

Question 6: Do you agree with our approach for reporting on the use of electromagnetic spectrum?:

Not thought about that or what it is

Question 7: Do you agree with our approach to measuring coverage?:

Yes

Question 8: How do you think we should establish an appropriate level of granularity and the right technical assumptions to make the data useful?:

Not thought about that

Question 9: Do you agree our proposed approach will enable us to report adequately on arrangements for infrastructure sharing? Are there reasons why network operators would be unable to provide us with the data we have proposed to collect?:

Not thought about that

Question 10: Do you agree our proposed approach will enable us to report adequately on the provision of wholesale network access? Are there reasons why network operators would be unable to provide us with the data we have proposed to collect?:

Not thought about that but if network operators do not want you to see any data they will find a way of not providing it

Question 11: How do you currently measure the capacity of the network?:

N/A

Question 12: Do you agree that we should define specific metrics for different types of networks?:

N/A

Question 13: Do you agree with the proposed approach of gathering specific reports of outages above a certain threshold, and how do you think such thresholds should be set?:

N/A

Question 14: For smaller outages, which statistical data do you think it is valuable to gather?:

Question 15: Is a three-month reporting period sufficient to assess availability performance?:

N/A

Question 16: Do you agree with our approach to reporting resilience and emergency planning and the list of data we would ideally collect from CPs?:

N/A

Question 17: Do you already provide information to other organisations and government agencies around resilience issues? If so, what are they?:

N/A

Question 18: Do you agree that there are additional networks and services which are of sufficient importance to include in the report? If so, what are they?:

N/A

Question 19: Are there other sources of international data which we should consider? Are we focusing on the right networks and metrics? In particular, have we got the right metric for commenting on next-generation access deployments?:

N/A