

Additional comments:

THIS IS A DUPLICATE OF PREVIOUS RESPONSE SENT TO YOU ON 19TH AUGUST. THIS IS SENT TO YOU BECAUSE YOU HAVE REQUESTED IT AS A RESULT OF YOUR TECHNICAL PROBLEM.

Question 1:Do you agree that Ofcom should grant approval to Royal Mail for the Delivery to Neighbour service? If not please explain your answer. :

I DON'T AGREE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

Royal Mail – roll out of Delivery to Neighbour scheme Consultation

YOU HAVE SAID THAT "5.12 Only half of consumers who were aware of the trial knew that they could opt out; given the low awareness of the trial this means that overall only one in five consumers was aware that they could choose not to have their post left with a neighbour." THIS BEING THE CASE THE ONUS IS ON OFCOM AND ROYAL MAIL TO ENSURE THAT EVERY SINGLE ADDRESS THAT IS INCLUDED IN THE SCHEME AGREES TO IT. THIS CAN ONLY BE DONE IF A POLICY OF 'OPT IN' RATHER THAN A POLICY OF 'OPT OUT' IS ADOPTED. YOUR ASSUMPTION THAT ANYONE AGREES TO THIS SCHEME JUST BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOT OPTED OUT IS THEREFORE WRONG.

Leaving mail at any address other than that on the envelope is a violation of Article 12 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which is International Law, and Article 8 (Right to Respect for Private Life) of the European Convention, which is English Law. YOU HAVE SAID THAT "5.34 Royal Mail has confirmed that it will continue to offer the right to opt out and retain liability in any national roll out. Therefore, Ofcom considers that there will be proportionate and effective safeguards in place to address the majority of consumer concerns." THE HUMAN RIGHT TO THE PROTECTION OF LAW AGAINST INTERFERENCE WITH ONE'S CORRESPONDENCE IS A RIGHT WHICH RELATES TO EACH INDIVIDUAL PERSON INDIVIDUALLY. OFCOM HAS JUSTIFIED THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH INTERFERENCE WITH THIS "MAJORITY" ARGUMENT WHICH CANNOT BE APPLIED IN THE CASE OF HUMAN RIGHTS BECAUSE THE VIOLATION OF ONE SINGLE PERSON'S HUMAN RIGHTS MAKES THE POLICY UNLAWFUL. YOU ARE OBLIGED IN EVERY SINGLE CASE TO INTEND TO DELIVER THE MAIL TO THE ADDRESSEE'S ADDRESS, AND NEVER TO SOMEONE WHO COULD POSSIBLY INTERFERE WITH IT.

Question 2:Are there other consequences following the roll out of the service across the UK that we have not included in our assessment? If so, please explain.:

THE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS I DESCRIBE ABOVE

Question 3:Do you have any comments on the scope and wording of the proposed Notification and approval: