

NEC

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you have any additional information to provide to that presented in this Consultation that you believe Ofcom should consider? If so please provide clearly evidenced views. Are there any other issues that you believe Ofcom should have considered?:

No, we don't have additional information.

Question 2: a) Do you agree with our proposals to offer a mixed solution that allows stakeholders to choose between the currently available self coordinated authorisation approach and a new Ofcom coordinated approach for the band? b) Do you agree with the segmented band plan with the split of 2 x 2 GHz and 2 x 2.5 GHz for Ofcom coordinated and self coordinated approaches respectively? c) Is the guard band size of 250 MHz considered appropriate between the two approaches? :

2(a) Yes, we agree with Ofcom proposal in this consultation.

2(b) Yes, we agree with Ofcom proposed segment band plan described in this consultation.

2(c) Yes, the proposed guard band size of 250MHz is appropriate between the two approaches.

Question 3: a) For the Ofcom coordinated part of the band, do you agree with the proposal to make available channels of 500 MHz and 250 MHz (with smaller channels being made available when the standards are completed) and to make these channels available in up to 1 GHz bandwidth in the first instance? b) Is there a requirement for channel sizes greater than 500 MHz in the coordinated block? Please submit evidence to support your view.:

3(a): Yes, we agree with your proposal for the channel separation 500MHz and 250MHz. For the second generation equipment, these CS are the best options. For the first generation, 1GHz CS is necessary.

To our information, ETSI standard specifications which includes smaller channels has been approved by the ETSI working group ATTM/TM4.

http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=41236

http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=41235

3(b): The first generation radio system, for example BridgeWave product, has 1GHz CS (650Mbaud).

Question 4: a) Are there any aspects of the current self coordinated licensing and link registration process that could benefit from improvements? Please provide specific information and reasons for how your suggestions would

improve the process. b) Should Ofcom consider mandating the CEPT channel plan, ECC/REC/(05)07 for the self coordinated block? Explain clearly the reasons to support your view. c) Are the technical parameters shown on the register sufficient to enable self coordination? Should Ofcom consider presenting additional parameters on the register? If so, which parameters and why?:

4(a): No, we don't have any comments for the current self-coordinated licensing and link registration process.

4(b): Yes, Ofcom should consider the CEPT channel plan for the self-coordinated block. If the channel plan is not applied to this block, manufacturers cannot sell their standard products and must develop customized products.

4(c): The technical parameters shown on the register are sufficient.