

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with the planning principles and methodologies that we will use in our work to refine the coverage area plan for small-scale DAB?</p>	<p>Broadly in agreement.</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed approach to the required technical licence conditions for small-scale radio multiplex services, and the proposed amendments to the Digital Radio Technical Code?</p>	<p>Yes, agree with the principle of DAB + to maximise the band width available, adding to the potential financial viability of the multiplex.</p>
<p>Question 3: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposed approach to setting the level of reserved capacity for C-DSP services on small-scale radio multiplex services?</p>	<p>Yes, we agree if this will ensure access to other Community Stations in a cost-effective way.</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you agree with the factors we are proposing to take into account of in deciding the order and timescale in which Ofcom will advertise small-scale radio multiplex licences?</p>	<p>As long as rural areas like Uckfield are ensured fairness in the process against the more densely populated areas of the country.</p>
<p>Question 5: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the technical plans submitted in small-scale radio multiplex licence applications?</p>	<p>Broadly in agreement.</p>
<p>Question 6: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the ability of applicants to establish their proposed small-scale radio multiplex service?</p>	<p>Yes, totally.</p>
<p>Question 7: Should Ofcom require that the studio of a C-DSP licensee be located within the coverage area of the small-scale radio multiplex service it plans to broadcast on? Please explain the reasons for your view.</p>	<p>OFCOM should be aware that there may be station of community interest that would wish or be invited to come onto a local mini mux.</p>
<p>Question 8: We propose that holders of corresponding analogue community radio and DSP licences apportion their income equally</p>	<p>Yes, I totally agree that this should be the case.</p>

across their licences, unless there are compelling reasons why a different apportionment is reasonable. Do you agree with our suggested approach?

Question 9: Do you agree with our proposal that a prospective C-DSP service provider will be able to apply for a C-DSP licence once we have invited applications for the small-scale radio multiplex licence upon which their proposed C-DSP service is intended to be provided?