

## Your response

| Question  | Your response  |
|---|--|
| Question 1: Do you agree with the planning<br>principles and methodologies that we will use<br>in our work to refine the coverage area plan<br>for small-scale DAB?   | Confidential? – N<br>Yes, we agree with Ofcom's planning principles<br>and methodologies to be used to refine the<br>coverage area plan for small-scale DAB.<br>We do question why Aberdeen has been split<br>into two separate licences, and that, as we<br>understand it, that one operator cannot hold<br>both licences. This will make for a disjointed<br>service across the city, and make it difficult to<br>create a financially sustainable model.<br>We suggest that this be reconsidered, or that a<br>mechanism is introduced which allows issues<br>like this to be explored again before licence<br>areas are finalised. |
| Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed<br>approach to the required technical licence<br>conditions for small-scale radio multiplex<br>services, and the proposed amendments to<br>the Digital Radio Technical Code? | Confidential? N<br>Yes, we generally agree with Ofcom's proposed<br>approach to the required technical licence<br>conditions for small-scale radio multiplex<br>services and the proposed amendments to the<br>Digital Radio Technical Code. However, small-<br>scale multiplex operators should have the<br>choice of providing services on either DAB or<br>DAB+ as they best see fit and not be held to a<br>higher regulatory burden than other DAB<br>operators.  |
| Question 3: Do you agree with Ofcom's<br>proposed approach to setting the level of<br>reserved capacity for C-DSP services on small-<br>scale radio multiplex services?   | Confidential? – N<br>Yes, we agree with Ofcom's proposed approach<br>to setting the level of reserved capacity for C-<br>DSP services on small-scale radio multiplex<br>services.  |
| Question 4: Do you agree with the factors we are proposing to take into account of in   | Confidential? – N  |

| deciding the order and timescale in which<br>Ofcom will advertise small-scale radio<br>multiplex licences?  | Yes, we agree with the factors of which Ofcom<br>proposes to take into account when deciding<br>the order and timescale in which Ofcom will<br>advertise small-scale radio multiplex licences.   |
|---|--|
| Question 5: Do you agree with our proposed<br>approach for assessing the technical plans<br>submitted in small-scale radio multiplex<br>licence applications?         | Confidential? – N<br>Yes, we agree with Ofcom's proposed approach<br>for assessing the technical plans submitted in<br>small-scale radio multiplex licence applications.   |
| Question 6: Do you agree with our proposed<br>approach for assessing the ability of applicants<br>to establish their proposed small-scale radio<br>multiplex service? | Confidential? – N<br>Yes, we agree in general - however, Ofcom<br>should give particular positive weighting to<br>applicants whose participants include persons<br>or organisations who already have<br>demonstrable experience of delivering a<br>community radio service in the locality<br>proposed. This indicates real-world experience<br>of implementing or commissioning<br>transmission services and of negotiating site<br>access arrangements with relevant local site<br>owners. This criterion should also take into<br>additional account the opportunities for co-<br>locating with existing FM services.<br>In making an award decision Ofcom must also<br>balance responses on this criterion and the |
|   | technical plan (Criterion 1) with responses to<br>the 3 other criteria listed. In particular, the<br>third criterion (ownership or participation in<br>the applicant by a potential or actual C-DSP<br>licensee) should carry equal weighting in an<br>award decision alongside each of the other<br>criteria.   |
|   | We note Ofcom's observations in this respect<br>that the third criterion is considered desirable<br>not essential. Notwithstanding that, while<br>Ofcom may wish to set thresholds of technical<br>viability (Criterion 1) and of viability (Criterion<br>2), provided such thresholds are met, award<br>decisions should be based on scoring against all<br>five criteria with equal weighting in order to<br>reach a fair decision between competing<br>applicants.  |
| Question 7: Should Ofcom require that the<br>studio of a C-DSP licensee be located within<br>the coverage area of the small-scale radio                               | Confidential? - N<br>The requirement that the studio of a C-DSP  |

| multiplex service it plans to broadcast on?<br>Please explain the reasons for your view.   | licensee is located within the coverage area of<br>the small-scale radio multiplex service on which<br>it plans to broadcast may cause issues for some<br>stations who are not necessarily defined<br>geographically or whose broadcast area does<br>not match that of the proposed multiplex.<br>Ofcom should allow for exceptions to this rule<br>where justification can be provided.  |
|--|---|
| Question 8: We propose that holders of<br>corresponding analogue community radio and<br>DSP licences apportion their income equally<br>across their licences, unless there are<br>compelling reasons why a different<br>apportionment is reasonable. Do you agree<br>with our suggested approach?  | Confidential? – N<br>Yes, we generally agree with this approach to<br>apportion income equally across analogue and<br>digital licences as it will be easier to administer<br>and there is provision for exceptions to be<br>made if differential apportionment is required.<br>However, this proposal does not take into<br>account the higher costs of simulcasting on<br>both analogue and digital. It is therefore<br>recommended that Ofcom seeks a change to<br>the fixed revenue allowance with DCMS to<br>better support holders of both analogue<br>community radio and DSP licences. |
| Question 9: Do you agree with our proposal<br>that a prospective C-DSP service provider will<br>be able to apply for a C-DSP licence once we<br>have invited applications for the small-scale<br>radio multiplex licence upon which their<br>proposed C-DSP service is intended to be<br>provided? | Confidential? – N<br>Yes, we agree that prospective C-DSP service<br>providers will only be able to apply for a C-DSP<br>licence after Ofcom has invited applications for<br>the small-scale radio multiplex licence upon<br>which their proposed C-DSP service is intended<br>to be broadcast. It will be in the interests of<br>potential C-DSP services to not have to apply<br>and pay licence fees before the licence for the<br>multiplex on which they may wish to broadcast<br>has even been advertised or even launched.   |