

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1: Do you agree with the planning principles and methodologies that we will use in our work to refine the coverage area plan for small-scale DAB?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed approach to the required technical licence conditions for small-scale radio multiplex services, and the proposed amendments to the Digital Radio Technical Code?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Question 3: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposed approach to setting the level of reserved capacity for C-DSP services on small-scale radio multiplex services?</b></p>	<p>Is the suggestion to allow at least 3 C-DSPs Free of charge. If so then this should be proportionate to the total number of services operated by the Mux as some of the Mux providers may be low-cost operators.</p>
<p><b>Question 4: Do you agree with the factors we are proposing to take into account of in deciding the order and timescale in which Ofcom will advertise small-scale radio multiplex licences?</b></p>	<p>No. Licences should be advertised nationally to avoid further unfair advantages that the trialists have had.</p>
<p><b>Question 5: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the technical plans submitted in small-scale radio multiplex licence applications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Question 6: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the ability of applicants to establish their proposed small-scale radio multiplex service?</b></p>	<p>Yes however in addition to the proposed criteria we believe that small operators should be assessed to avoid the continuation of market dominance by large existing Macro Site DAB providers</p>
<p><b>Question 7: Should Ofcom require that the studio of a C-DSP licensee be located within the coverage area of the small-scale radio multiplex service it plans to broadcast on? Please explain the reasons for your view.</b></p>	<p>Yes we believe this should be the case to ensure that coverage of the SSD service is similar to that of the C-DSP.</p>
<p><b>Question 8: We propose that holders of</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>

<p>corresponding analogue community radio and DSP licences apportion their income equally across their licences, unless there are compelling reasons why a different apportionment is reasonable. Do you agree with our suggested approach?</p>	
<p><b>Question 9: Do you agree with our proposal that a prospective C-DSP service provider will be able to apply for a C-DSP licence once we have invited applications for the small-scale radio multiplex licence upon which their proposed C-DSP service is intended to be provided?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>