

Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree with the planning principles and methodologies that we will use in our work to refine the coverage area plan for small-scale DAB?	No Answer
Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed approach to the required technical licence conditions for small-scale radio multiplex services, and the proposed amendments to the Digital Radio Technical Code?	No Answer
Question 3: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposed approach to setting the level of reserved capacity for C-DSP services on small-scale radio multiplex services?	In addition to the proposals in 4.25 Bath Hospital Radio have adopted the model objects on health promotion and asks that Ofcom takes into account the number of hospital radio stations in the proposed polygon area who wish to provide a health and wellbeing-focused service when setting the amount of reserved capacity.
Question 4: Do you agree with the factors we are proposing to take into account of in deciding the order and timescale in which Ofcom will advertise small-scale radio multiplex licences?	No Answer
Question 5: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the technical plans submitted in small-scale radio multiplex licence applications?	We don't see ourselves on our own applying for a small scale multiplex licence due to the fact that the requirements of using the technical planning tool seems to be more detailed than if we were able to apply for a community radio analogue FM/AM licence. We cannot see ourselves on our own operating a small scale multiplex service.

<p>Question 6: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the ability of applicants to establish their proposed small-scale radio multiplex service?</p>	<p>No answer</p>
<p>Question 7: Should Ofcom require that the studio of a C-DSP licensee be located within the coverage area of the small-scale radio multiplex service it plans to broadcast on? Please explain the reasons for your view.</p>	<p>No answer</p>
<p>Question 8: We propose that holders of corresponding analogue community radio and DSP licences apportion their income equally across their licences, unless there are compelling reasons why a different apportionment is reasonable. Do you agree with our suggested approach?</p>	<p>No answer</p>
<p>Question 9: Do you agree with our proposal that a prospective C-DSP service provider will be able to apply for a C-DSP licence once we have invited applications for the small-scale radio multiplex licence upon which their proposed C-DSP service is intended to be provided?</p>	<p>It seems strange that a prospective C-DSP provider can apply for a licence before knowing that the licence for the multiplex upon their proposed service is intending to broadcast, given that reserved capacity is only available on a single multiplex. They would be paying a £250 non refundable application fee plus the annual fee, if the C-DSP licence was awarded, not knowing that the multiplex licence will be awarded, for small charities like ours this is a lot of money. It would make sense for applications for C-DSP licences to open once the corresponding multiplex licence has been awarded.</p>