Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you have any comments on the two sub-options for the negotiation phase set out above, including your preference between the two? Please give reasons for your views.	The IET 5G Further Faster (5GFF) has an interest in the issue of 5G band fragmentation. The group believe that It would be far more useful in delivering 5G services to places where the MNO's have no interest in covering. To achieve this we suggest that the spectrum borrowed to provide 5G services in these poorly served areas comes in a single wide contiguous channel. You will see from our <u>earlier response</u> which
	made similar proposals. Therefore, the IET 5GFF group warmly welcomes Ofcom's two suboptions.
	Unanimous agreement is always the ideal but the sub-option that would allow for partial agreements increases the chances of there being more wide RF channels in the market. That is a good thing. Having the second sub-option also leads to a much fairer process in a scenario where not all MNO's may want an agreement.
Question 2: Do you agree with our intention to restrict winners of less than 20 MHz to bidding for the top or bottom of the band?	No comment
Question 3: Do you have any other comments on our proposals to include measures in the auction to help defragment the 3.4–3.8 GHz band?	No comment