

## Your response

| Question                                   | Your response   |
|--|---|
| Do you have any comments on our proposals? | With the general withdrawal of broadcasters &<br>listeners from the Medium Wave band, there<br>are now quite large amounts of spectrum<br>available for use. What I would very much like<br>to see is the potential for low power not for<br>financial reward Hobby Broadcasting on the<br>band, either similar to the 1 watt P.E.P, limited<br>hours per week permits issued in The<br>Netherlands, or the 100 milliwatt with a 10 foot<br>aerial licence free "Part 15" scheme in the<br>U.S.A.   |
|  | I see this as an extension to the hobby of<br>Amateur Radio, with a need to demonstrate<br>technical competence before any such permit<br>or Notice Of Variation be issued. Whilst we can<br>use Amplitude Modulation on the 160 meter<br>band as something similar to MW, we are not<br>supposed to transmit music (although the<br>current licence document doesn't forbid it!)<br>and our transmission bandwidth has to be<br>much smaller than that of a broadcast station.<br>There is still much to learn, even in this day &<br>age, about the MF spectrum, and audio<br>processing. |
|  | With regard to royalty payments for artists<br>music used for such transmissions, I see a small<br>one off fee covering this as it is in The<br>Netherlands. When you look at how many<br>streams a recording needs to get on line on<br>platforms like Spotify before an artist is given a<br>single penny as royalties and the fact that<br>listenership to such a station is likely to be a<br>handful at most, the cost of collecting these<br>royalties is unlikely to be financially viable, as<br>was found when it was looked into for<br>American FCC Part 15.                     |
|  | Many vintage radio enthusiasts run micro<br>powered "Pantry" transmitters, to send<br>suitable programs to their radio collection. I am<br>aware of correspondence from individuals with<br>Ofcom that so long as the transmission doesn't  |

