

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 3.1: Do you agree with our analysis of the ways in which number spoofing is used, and the extent and types of harm associated with its use? If you have any further evidence which demonstrates the extent and types of harm involved, please provide this.</p>	<p><i>Yes I agree. These type of calls make me extremely distressed and affect the quality of my life, Surely the telephone providers have a duty of care to us</i></p>
<p>Question 4.1: Do you agree with our assessment that while Ofcom rules and industry measures are likely to help to reduce scam calls, more needs to be done to tackle number spoofing? Provide reasons for your answer and include any suggested measures that could have a material impact on reducing the incidence of scam calls involving number spoofing.</p>	<p><i>Yes I agree. In this day and age surely the industry know what telephone number is making the call in order to apply charges then it must be easy to stop spoofing</i></p>
<p>Question 5.1: Is the approach to CLI authentication we have outlined feasible and workable?</p>	<p><i>Totally especially if you listen to me</i></p>
<p>Question 5.2: To what extent could adopting this approach to CLI authentication have a material impact on reducing scams and other unwanted calls? If you consider an alternative approach would be better, please outline this and your reasons why.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – Y / N (delete as appropriate)</i></p>
<p>Question 5.3: Are there additional measures that could be adopted to further strengthen the suggested approach and/or minimise the identified exemptions?</p>	<p><i>This can work and use your powers. These companies need to be obeying the rules, if not give them a mega fine</i></p>

<p>Question 6.1: Do you agree with the approach outlined for the monitoring and enforcement of the rules with regard to CLI authentication? Are there any alternative approaches that we should consider?</p>	<p><i>Just one report of a spoofed number getting through and you should come down on the provider like a ton of bricks. No excuses as they are making plenty of money</i></p>
<p>Question 6.2: Do you agree that CLI authentication could make call tracing easier and yield benefits in terms of detecting scammers and nuisance callers?</p>	<p><i>Yes as long as you have the will and use your powers – don not hold back</i></p>
<p>Question 7.1: What are your views on the timescales for the potential implementation of CLI authentication, including the interdependencies with legacy network retirement?</p>	<p><i>Needs to be done really fast to stop these scammers</i></p>
<p>Question 7.2: Do you agree with our assessment of the administrative steps required to implement CLI authentication and how these should be achieved?</p>	<p><i>I think so</i></p>
<p>Question 7.3: Should a common numbering database be implemented to support the CLI authentication approach? Please provide any comments on the steps needed to implement a common numbering database, including on the feasibility of the industry leading on (a) the specification; and (b) the implementation?</p>	<p><i>If this would help then yes</i></p>
<p>Question 8.1: Do you agree with the proposed framework for impact assessment and the potential categories of costs and benefits? Please identify any other factors that we should take into account in our assessment.</p>	<p><i>I think so</i></p>