Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree with our proposals to add the 6425-7070 MHz band to the Shared Access framework?	We should not be awarding further spectrum that will increase electrosmog. It is already at chronic levels. We need un-biased impact assessments for public health and sustainability.
	Wifi is already 5Ghz indoors, you are simply expanding the spectrum, however: There are multiple and credible studies outlining hazards at non thermal levels, which have not been addressed.
	https://www.europarl.europa.eu/stoa/en/docu- ment/EPRS_STU(2021)690012
	The energy in use and general life cycle impact of a fully operational and expanded ICT network also runs contrary to the stated sustainability agendas.
	https://envirotecmagazine.com/2021/11/08/how-green-is-5g/
Question 2: Do you have any comments on potential uses for this licence?	This expansion simply should not be happening whilst there is no regulation of non thermal levels.
	The public have not been properly informed of the hazard and have not given informed consent to in effect mandated public exposure.
	Extra spectrum will only increase use and power density.
	https://phiremedical.org/wp- content/uploads/2020/11/2020-Non-Ionising-Radiation- Consensus-Statement.pdf
	Further 23,840 studies here: www.EMF-portal.org

Question 3: Do you have any comments on our proposed licence conditions, licence fee or minimum separation distance?	50m? this will affect neighbours as well, who may not consent. Building users will not be aware of the hazards, even in their own homes of course.
Question 4: Do you have any comments on our technical analysis?	Even a maximum EIRP of indoor Access Points of 250mW does not take account of the non linear dose effects. Even small doses for a long exposure cause biological effects. Indoor spaces should not have unlimited wireless – unless use is made on a fully educated basis – User controlled and judiciously managed.