

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with our proposed modification of General Condition C6.6? If not, please give reasons.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –Yes / No (delete as appropriate)</i> I agree totally</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposal to bring this modification into force six months after the publication of our statement (which is planned for Autumn 2022)? If not, please provide reasons why a different date would be appropriate.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –Yes / No (delete as appropriate)</i> It may take up to twelve months from release but an indicative 6 month window should be achievable</p>
<p>Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed changes to the CLI guidance? Please provide reasons for your response. Please set out your comments on each of the proposed changes separately.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –Yes/ No (delete as appropriate)</i> I agree with the proposed changes through the document. Ref 1.3 CLI, my understanding is every CLI must have an address associated for emergency services so this should not be an issue. The option of a name field, may cause issues interpreting if it is in Chinese as an example. 1,5, Totally agree in blocking calls in the event end to end data consistency cannot be validated</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you have any comments on the use of 084 and 087 non-geographic numbers as Presentation Numbers and/or on the impact if the use of 084 and 087 numbers as Presentation Numbers was prohibited in the CLI guidance? Are you aware of any examples of the use of 084 or 087 numbers as Presentation Numbers?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –Yes/ No (delete as appropriate)</i> Any number presented should have a valid Number which is associated with a physical location, as such permitting the use of 084 and 087 could be a legitimate option.</p>