

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1: Do you agree with our proposed modification of General Condition C6.6? If not, please give reasons.</b></p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – Yes / No (delete as appropriate)</i></p> <p>Yes I strongly agree with your proposed modification of General Condition C6.6. It's about time this excellent modification was made!</p>
<p><b>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposal to bring this modification into force six months after the publication of our statement (which is planned for Autumn 2022)? If not, please provide reasons why a different date would be appropriate.</b></p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – Yes / No (delete as appropriate)</i></p> <p>No, because every day that this modification is delayed, several people, especially those who are elderly and/or mentally impaired, lose hundreds or even thousands of pounds to telephone scammers! The statement should be published in Spring/Summer 2022 and Ofcom should discuss with the smaller providers why they can't implement it within three or four months.</p>
<p><b>Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed changes to the CLI guidance? Please provide reasons for your response. Please set out your comments on each of the proposed changes separately.</b></p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – Yes / No (delete as appropriate)</i></p> <p>Yes, I thoroughly support the proposed changes to the CLI guidance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Clarifying that the format of a CLI should be a 10- or 11-digit number is useful.</li> <li>* Of course calls from numbers on the DNO list should be blocked (although this does mean this list needs to be kept updated in case any of these genuine organizations decide to start originating calls).</li> <li>* Blocking calls originating abroad that do not have valid CLI is extremely important to stop the scammers.</li> <li>* Blocking calls from abroad spoofing UK CLI is also extremely important to stop the scammers!</li> </ul>
<p><b>Question 4: Do you have any comments on the use of 084 and 087 non-geographic numbers as Presentation Numbers and/or on the impact if the use of 084 and 087 numbers as Presentation Numbers was prohibited in the CLI guidance? Are you aware of any examples of the use of 084 or 087 numbers as Presentation Numbers?</b></p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – Yes / No (delete as appropriate)</i></p> <p>It's important to prohibit use of 084 and 087 numbers as Presentation Numbers as not everyone is aware that calling a 084 or 087 number incurs a charge that is very variable, difficult to find out about and may be high.</p>