

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with the proposed scope of the review of BBC regulation as set out in this document? If not, please explain the areas where you think changes should be made.</p>	<p>There is little point in reviewing the BBC's activities if they are not prepared to be transparent with their actions. Hiding the Balen Report and spending vast sums of money on preventing its publication indicate that the BBC has much to hide and is not prepared to admit it. Perhaps the BBC needs to be replaced by an organisation that acts in the public interest.</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with the proposed approach to reviewing the BBC Operating Licence? If not, please explain why.</p>	
<p>Question 3: Do you have any views on how to measure the BBC's performance?</p>	<p>The BBC already has a complaints procedure concerning its programmes. It should be possible to set up a scheme to monitor how these complaints are treated by the BBC - number of complaints categorised by their nature (where appropriate); number of responses; length of time to respond; volume of complaints about a particular individual/topic, etc. I'm still waiting for a response to a complaint I made about 12 years ago.</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed scope of the review in relation to content standards? If not, please explain why.</p>	
<p>Question 5: Do you agree with the issues we have identified with the processes for assessing the competitive impact of changes to the BBC's UK Public Services? If you consider there should be changes to these processes, please set out what these are and, if possible, provide any relevant evidence.</p>	
<p>Question 6: Do you have any concerns about the regulatory framework for the BBC's commercial activities that are not being considered in the review of BBC Studios?</p>	