

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with our proposed changes to the intermediary exclusion in the definition of 'relevant parcels postal service' and the deletion of intermediary exclusion for the definition of 'relevant letters postal service' in CPC1? Please include your reasoning and relevant evidence in your response.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>DX agrees to the changes to intermediary exclusion in the definition of 'relevant parcel postal service' and the deletion of intermediary exclusion for the definition of 'relevant letters postal service'</p> <p>Clearly identifying large parcel carriers as Parcel operators who are delivering to the recipient's door E2E are clear identified as "Relevant parcel postal service."</p> <p>While still excluding true intermediaries from this mechanism.</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed introduction of the bundled turnover allocation requirement in CPC1? Please include your reasoning and relevant evidence in your response.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>DX agrees with Ofcom's proposed introduction of the bundled turnover allocation requirement.</p> <p>DX in particular has a very diverse network in which we have 4 differing services within the DX portfolio.</p> <p>DX have an access license in which we hand over mail to Royal Mail for final mile delivery.</p> <p>DX has a document exchange network which is a mail product, although excluded due to the service not being an E2E product. The mail product is delivery and collected from a</p>

	<p>network of document exchange locations where the customer collects and deliveries their mail.</p> <p>DX has an Express division that handles parcels on an end-to-end network which is supported with both PAYE and Master subcontractors.</p> <p>DX has freight division in which we carry irregular dimensions and weight items.</p>
<p>Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed change to the definition of 'relevant parcel' in CPC1? Please include your reasoning and relevant evidence in your response.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes, DX agrees with the definition of relevant parcel postal service.</p> <p>DX has reservations over max. weight of 31.5kg which should also state cubic measurement calculated as a weight of 31.5kg.</p> <p>A parcel isn't always defined by weight it may be defined by volume and size of product. A weight of 20KG for as parcel would be a better option.</p>

Please complete this form in full and return to gianpiero.roscelli@ofcom.org.uk.