

Your response

| Question | Your response |
|---|---|
| Question 1: Do you have any comments on proposed guidance around subsection 1 of section 368S of the Act – whether the provision of videos to members of the public is the principal purpose of the service or a dissociable section of the service, or an essential functionality of the service? | All answers to the questions are related to freedom of speech-something I thought this country stood for. |
| Question 2: Do you have any comments on proposed guidance around subsections 2(a)-(c) of section 368S of the Act – provision via an electronic communications network; provision on a commercial basis; and the level of control providers have over videos? | All answers to the questions are related to freedom of speech-something I thought this country stood for. |
| Question 3: Do you have any comments on proposed guidance around assessing whether a service is within jurisdiction of the UK? | All answers to the questions are related to freedom of speech-something I thought this country stood for. |
| Question 4: Do you have any comments on proposed guidance around notification of a service, including the detail provided in Annex 2? | All answers to the questions are related to freedom of speech-something I thought this country stood for. |
| Question 5: Do you have any comments on any other part of the guidance which is not explicitly set out in questions 1-4? | All answers to the questions are related to freedom of speech-something I thought this country stood for. |