

From the Executive Director

Adam Baxter Principal, Standards and Audience Protection Ofcom Riverside House 2A Southwark Bridge Road London SE1 9HA

13 August 2020

Dear Mr Baxter

I am writing to you on behalf of the Society of Editors and its members to express concern over the proposed changes to the Broadcast Code with regard to the creation of additional 'duty of care' requirements for broadcasters.

While the SoE and its members understands and applauds the need to ensure those taking part in entertainment broadcast interviews and events should be subject to a duty of care, we feel there is a real risk to media freedom and the public's right to know if the proposed new measures are extended to news and current affairs programming.

In particular, the SoE fears the proposed new rules that would require programme editors and journalists to warn interviewees of any potential negative consequences of agreeing to an interview will have a deadening effect on journalism.

Although we note Ofcom says the measures are not intended to impede news gathering, we agree with several broadcast companies who point out that a requirement to obtain "informed consent" from participants will prove impractical and deter interview subjects.

The new measures might also require broadcasters to provide interviewees with a contact on the production team, offer support in certain situations, and provide advice on any potential negative social media responses if there is a risk of this happening. This is not practical in many cases when covering news or current affairs items.

The existing <u>Broadcasting Code</u> requires broadcasters to "avoid unjust or unfair treatment of individuals or organisations in programmes" and be fair when dealing with potential contributors.

The SoE believes the proposals pose a real risk of making hard-hitting interviews and investigations impossible to achieve and would enable those wishing to evade scrutiny to hide from their actions or intent.

President Alison Gow

Chairman

lan MacGregor

Executive Director Ian Murray

Board of Directors

Martin Breen Peter Clifton Polly Curtis Oliver Duff Charles Garside Ceri Gould Jonathan Grun Donald Martin Eleanor Mills Vic Motune lan Murray Sue Ryan Moira Sleight Sarah Whitehead Doug Wills Joy Yates

Past Presidents

Doug Wills Ian Murray Jonathan Grun Fran Unsworth Robin Esser Donald Martin Nigel Pickover Simon Bucks Paul Horrocks Charles McGhee Keith Sutton Neil Benson Jonathan Grun Liz Page Edmund Curran Neil Fowler Geoff Elliott

Fellows

lan Beales Simon Bucks Peter Cole Andrew Colman Edmund Curran Geoff Elliott Maurice Frankel Phil Harding David Meara Bob Pinker Alan Rusbridger Richard Tait

University Centre, Granta Place, Cambridge, CB2 1RU

Telephone: 01223 304080 Fax: 01223 304090 Email: info@societyofeditors.org

www.societyofeditors.org



From the Executive Director

Of particular concern is the lack of any provision for considering whether an interview or undercover reporting is in the public interest.

We understand there should be an onus on editors and journalists to consider the impact of their questioning on subjects, but this appears too much like an attempt to wrap interviewees in a thick comfort blanket that may well prove impenetrable. As a way of emasculating the media it will prove very useful for those wishing the evade difficult questioning.

All journalists know it can often prove difficult, at times very difficult indeed, to persuade someone to face the cameras, and while all efforts should be made to ensure no one is put under undue stress there are times when it is important some subjects are put on the spot.

It is difficult to imagine how the proposed new regulations could be applied when carrying out covert reporting and undercover filming as well as when interviews are taking place at times of an emergency or disaster when time is critical.

We understand the Commercial Broadcasters Association (CBA) has urged Ofcom to make it clear in the guidance that the rules cannot be applied to someone who agrees to take part in a news interview that then turns out to be a tough ride.

The CBA has added that any new rules must not be used to prevent the transmission of news, current affairs or documentary programming that meet the other rules of the [Broadcasting] Code (fairness, accuracy etc.) because of distress in the context of news and documentaries, if due care has been taken as to the participant's welfare. We would concur with that opinion.

In conclusion, the SoE would seek clear clarification that the proposed new guidelines are not designed to hinder coverage of news and current affairs, that there is a clear public interest exemption for such journalism, and that any new requirements should be followed only where it is practical to do so.

I look forward to receiving your response.

Yours sincerely,

Carcley

Ian Murray