

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 3.1: Do you have further views about the implementation of STIR?</p>	<p>STIR seems to be a good solution, anything that deals with spoofing of numbers is to be welcomed</p>
<p>Question 3.2: Are there any other approaches we should consider for addressing CLI authentication?</p>	<p>Not aware of any.</p>
<p>Question 3.3: Do you agree a common database would be required to support the implementation of STIR?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Question 3.4: What are your views on using blockchain technology as the basis for a common numbering database to support CLI authentication? What other solutions do you think should be considered and why?</p>	<p>No specific views at this stage</p>
<p>Question 3.5: What are your views on timeframes?</p>	<p>We're disappointed that it will take so long but this is a complex project with potentially serious consequences if it is rushed.</p>
<p>Question 4.1: What are your views on the current implementation of number portability in the fixed and mobile sectors?</p>	<p>We find the current process extremely frustrating with too many delays and even failures caused by incorrect data, poor systems and inexperienced/poorly trained people running it. Also problematic are the barriers caused by losing CPs to delay or prevent porting taking place</p>
<p>Question 4.2: What are your views on sharing the functionality of a common numbering database for CLI authentication to also support improvements in UK porting processes?</p>	
<p>Question 4.3: We are currently supporting a blockchain pilot. Do you have any views on using this technology for port transactions and a routing database? Are there other alternatives that should be considered?</p>	<p>Not at this stage</p>

<p>Question 4.4: What are your views on implementation timeframes and the importance of a common database solution being available to support the migration of telephony services to IP?</p>	<p>Again we are disappointed by the time it is expected to take but the common database should be useful in other areas too.</p>
<p>Question 5.1: What are your views on the potential for a common database solution to also provide shared functionality to support number management?</p>	<p>There is huge potential for a common data base to facilitate many processes but it has to be designed with flexibility in mind to enable all the potential benefits to be realised.</p>
<p>Question 5.2: What do you see as the benefits or disbenefits of changes to number management post PSTN retirement?</p>	<p>As well as making processes easier and greater transparent a major benefit will be the possibility of being able have smaller blocks of numbers allocated to CPs giving more efficient management.</p>
<p>Question 6.1: Do you agree, in principle, with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database? If not, why not?</p>	<p>We agree</p>
<p>Question 6.2: If you do not agree with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database, do you have any suggestions on how the issues we have set out in this consultation could be addressed?</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Question 6.3: Do you agree that in the first instance industry should lead the implementation of a common numbering database, with Ofcom providing support to convene and coordinate key activities? If not, what are your views on how implementation should be taken forward?</p>	<p>We would want OFCOM or another independent body to lead to prevent dominant players forcing their own and possibly inefficient solutions on other CPs</p>