

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 3.1: Do you have further views about the implementation of STIR?</p>	<p>Secure Telephony Identity Revisited STIR</p> <p>The industry-led solutions STIR have been officially endorsed by the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC)³ and Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to fight spoofed robocall, so as per my opinion very much required to implement asap.</p>
<p>Question 3.2: Are there any other approaches we should consider for addressing CLI authentication?</p>	<p>You can check and try for the below :</p> <p>https://blog.gruntwork.io/a-guide-to-automating-hashicorp-vault-3-authenticating-with-an-iam-user-or-role-a3203a3ee088</p>
<p>Question 3.3: Do you agree a common database would be required to support the implementation of STIR?</p>	<p>STIR will help service provider customers trust their phone again and feel more comfortable answering verified phone calls.</p>
<p>Question 3.4: What are your views on using blockchain technology as the basis for a common numbering database to support CLI authentication? What other solutions do you think should be considered and why?</p>	<p>Block chain will help as below -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved customer experience when moving a number between providers. Lower regulatory and business costs. Increased industry agility, and More effective management of nuisance calls and fraud
<p>Question 3.5: What are your views on timeframes?</p>	<p>Can't able to comment as didn't aware about the other conditions .</p>
<p>Question 4.1: What are your views on the current implementation of number portability in the fixed and mobile sectors?</p>	<p>Standard database is very much required as well as streamlined process to get the regular updates of new original network and current networks with numbers are very much required to strengthen .</p>
<p>Question 4.2: What are your views on sharing the functionality of a common numbering database for CLI authentication to also support improvements in UK porting processes?</p>	<p>CLI authentication is very much required to avoid the fraud issues .</p>
<p>Question 4.3: We are currently supporting a blockchain pilot. Do you have any views on using this technology for port transactions and</p>	<p>Its really a good one.</p>

<p>a routing database? Are there other alternatives that should be considered?</p>	
<p>Question 4.4: What are your views on implementation timeframes and the importance of a common database solution being available to support the migration of telephony services to IP?</p>	<p>common database solution will help a lot to support the migration of telephony services to IP.</p>
<p>Question 5.1: What are your views on the potential for a common database solution to also provide shared functionality to support number management?</p>	<p>My idea -1) first we should have standardized format in excel as below ,where we can get the after country code which all levels are valid till 2nd digit or 3rd digits and so on .</p> <p>So we can able to exactly locate which all series are valid in that country .</p> <p>Benefit: we can block the invalid /non operational levels in our switches ,so we can avoid the junk traffic/dialer traffic as well as fraud traffic.</p> <p>2) we should have each country (0-9) for each level Min and Max length info ,so we can set in our switch. Benefit -Avoid the junk traffic as well as improve the performance and ASR/NER etc.</p>
<p>Question 5.2: What do you see as the benefits or disbenefits of changes to number management post PSTN retirement?</p>	<p>Very much required to avoid the fraud traffic as well as avoid the junk traffic .</p>
<p>Question 6.1: Do you agree, in principle, with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database? If not, why not?</p>	<p>Agree</p>
<p>Question 6.2: If you do not agree with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database, do you have any suggestions on how the issues we have set out in this consultation could be addressed?</p>	<p>I agree with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database.</p>
<p>Question 6.3: Do you agree that in the first instance industry should lead the implementation of a common numbering database, with Ofcom providing support to convene and coordinate key activities? If not, what are your views on how implementation should be taken forward?</p>	<p>Yes agree that some one need to lead for the common, centralized database. ITU keeping the database but its very old and no surety it get updated time to time, its dependent on the owner PTT ,whether they want to update or not.</p>