

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 3.1: Do you have further views about the implementation of STIR?</p>	<p>Agree that it is necessary in reducing the numbers of scam and nuisance calls.</p>
<p>Question 3.2: Are there any other approaches we should consider for addressing CLI authentication?</p>	<p>Not that we're aware of.</p>
<p>Question 3.3: Do you agree a common database would be required to support the implementation of STIR?</p>	<p>Yes, but there are concerns as to how accurate it would be to maintain this database and the duration of implementing this.</p>
<p>Question 3.4: What are your views on using blockchain technology as the basis for a common numbering database to support CLI authentication? What other solutions do you think should be considered and why?</p>	<p>Again, just concerns on the accuracy of this info at the beginning and keeping it updated constantly.</p>
<p>Question 3.5: What are your views on timeframes?</p>	<p>Hard to say on the timescales because there a lot of unknowns and issues that may arise.</p>
<p>Question 4.1: What are your views on the current implementation of number portability in the fixed and mobile sectors?</p>	<p>There definitely could be improvements in the current way that porting is tackled. It is currently very manual and quite often the forms can get rejected on a technicality so the process becomes unnecessarily drawn out.</p>
<p>Question 4.2: What are your views on sharing the functionality of a common numbering database for CLI authentication to also support improvements in UK porting processes?</p>	<p>This makes sense as would hopefully bring improvements to the current porting process.</p>
<p>Question 4.3: We are currently supporting a blockchain pilot. Do you have any views on using this technology for port transactions and a routing database? Are there other alternatives that should be considered?</p>	<p>No experience of this but would be interesting to see how successful the pilot is.</p>
<p>Question 4.4: What are your views on implementation timeframes and the importance of a common database solution being available to support the migration of telephony services to IP?</p>	<p>It does seem like a common database solution is necessary, again difficult to say re. the timescales as there are a lot of issues that may be thrown up along the way.</p>
<p>Question 5.1: What are your views on the</p>	<p>This seems like a good idea again as long as the</p>

<p>potential for a common database solution to also provide shared functionality to support number management?</p>	<p>information can be kept up-to-date accurately.</p>
<p>Question 5.2: What do you see as the benefits or disbenefits of changes to number management post PSTN retirement?</p>	<p>In terms of number management, it would be useful to be able to allocate the numbers in smaller blocks.</p>
<p>Question 6.1: Do you agree, in principle, with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database? If not, why not?</p>	<p>Yes, provided that there is certainty that it can be developed and maintained accurately.</p>
<p>Question 6.2: If you do not agree with the need to develop and adopt a common numbering database, do you have any suggestions on how the issues we have set out in this consultation could be addressed?</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>Question 6.3: Do you agree that in the first instance industry should lead the implementation of a common numbering database, with Ofcom providing support to convene and coordinate key activities? If not, what are your views on how implementation should be taken forward?</p>	<p>Yes as long as this can be done in a well communicated and ordered manner.</p>