

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree with our proposed changes to the ACI/blocking procedures?	No response
Question 2: Do you have any comments on the adoption of the new ETSI mask characteristic and on the potential use of the non-critical spectrum mask?	No response
Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed changes on DAB+ audio encoding?	No response
Question 4: Do you agree with our other proposed revisions to the Digital Radio Technical Code outlined in Section 6 of this document? Do you have any views on alternative models for dealing with the administration of Sid and TII codes?	<p>We are concerned by the proposal for non-unique Sid code allocation.</p> <p>RadioDNS uses the Sid code (in conjunction with other parameters) to globally uniquely identify a programme service and translate this into an Internet domain name, as advised by the licence holder. In line with general internet addressing and domain practice, our standard requires no geo-location information to globally uniquely identify a service, so the proposal to allocate duplicated Sid codes on a geographically separated basis within the UK does not provide a viable mitigation.</p> <p>We note also that this proposal is counter to the EN 300 401 standard and the established architecture of DAB systems.</p> <p>We recognise the potential scenario of code exhaustion but suggest that a centralised and more detailed management would make most use of the available space of nearly 4 096 codes.</p>
Question 5: Do you agree with our other proposed revisions to the Technical Policy Guidance for DAB Multiplex Licensees document outlined in Section 7 of this document?	No response