

Your response

amendments to the localness guidelines

Question Your response Question 1: Do you agree that Ofcom's duty to No – I am very concerned that local commercial secure 'localness' on local commercial radio radio has become very non local and over stations could be satisfied if stations were able consolidated already. I see no listener benefit to reduce the amount of locally-made for stations to be allowed to reduce still further programming they provide? If not, please their local commitments. We are headed for explain the reasons and/or evidence which the situation where the BBC is the only "local" support your view. station in any particular area- BBC stations tend to cater for older listeners. People under 50 need local radio too - I mean radio that has a local focus rather than a national station trying to sound local with minimal news and local ads. Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed No I don't agree with reducing locally made amendments to the localness guidelines programmes. The existing number of hours is relating to locally-made programming? If not, insufficient and its important that OFCOm please specify any amendments you think maintains regional media. I think you should should be made instead (if any), and explain look at the populations each station is covering the reasons and/or evidence which support and increase the number of local hours for large population stations. I totally disagree with your view. the lack of weekend local programming. Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed No definitely – these areas render local radio new approved areas? If not, please specify any totally pointless. We have already been merged alternative proposals you think should be into the East Midlands region and now it's the considered (if any), and explain the reasons whole Midlands. These regions are distinctive. and/or evidence which support your view. Liverpool and Manchester are very distinct local markets but you aim to merge them! It seems to me that some of the areas have been designed to make merging stations easier by the 2 main radio groups. This will destroy local radio! Local radio should be more than just a 60 second news bulletin. OFCOM needs to be worrying about quality which has already slipped drastically rather than worrying about localness. I am concerned that very little listener awareness will allow these drastic changes to go through on the nod. They should have been publicised on air. Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed No - Local material should have a broad

audience interest. Local news on commercial

relating to local material? If not, please specify any amendments you think should be made instead, and explain the reasons and/or evidence which support your view. radio is already very weak. Local presenters need to connect with their audience. Accurate travel news and the ability to deal with local emergency situations needs to be maintained. It seems to me that these proposals will only satisfy still further the 2 main operators wish to produce national stations and is unfair on other local radio operators.