

# Tables showing proposed updates to the Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings

---

## Section 1

Current version	Proposed updates
<p>1.1 Satellite services are important for business, social and scientific applications, offering a unique ability to deliver communications to many parts of the world not adequately served by other means. In order to operate a satellite network, it is necessary to obtain access to spectrum for the uplink (Earth to space) as well as the downlink (space-to-Earth) path from the satellite to stations in the service area. It is also necessary to secure an orbital position in space for the satellite. A satellite's orbital position will influence the area of the globe it can serve.</p>	<p>1.1 Satellite and space services are important for an increasing range of business, social and scientific applications. Satellites might use spectrum for a variety of reasons such as: to provide communications services; to monitor the Earth and the atmosphere and transmit the data back to Earth; to provide Position, Navigation and Timing (PNT) services; or to support lunar or deep space missions. All space services require spectrum for Tracking, Telemetry and Control (TT&amp;C); this allows the satellite operator to communicate with its satellite(s) to ensure safe operation.</p> <p>1.2 In order to operate any space services, operators must obtain access to spectrum for the uplink (Earth to space) as well as the downlink (space-to-Earth) path from the satellite to stations on the ground. Operators also need to secure orbital positions in space for their satellites. A satellite's orbit, whether a Geostationary Orbit (GSO) or a non-Geostationary Orbit (NGSO), will influence the area of the globe the satellite can serve and therefore the way it uses spectrum.</p>
<p>1.2 Spectrum and orbital positions are valuable and limited resources. Radio spectrum is in high demand as mobile and broadband technologies develop and it becomes increasingly challenging to find frequencies to deliver new communications services.</p>	<p>1.3 Spectrum and orbits are valuable and limited resources. Radio spectrum is in high demand as wireless technologies change and develop across a range of sectors. It can become challenging to find frequencies as some spectrum bands become congested. Equally, new technologies can open up opportunities for space services in frequencies that were previously unavailable.</p>

## Current version

## Proposed updates

1.3 Spectrum and orbital positions have to be managed and planned in order to avoid interference and ensure that adequate separations are maintained between satellites. The international nature of satellite services necessitates that this coordination takes place within a framework of international rules administered by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), as further described in section 2 of this document.

1.4 This document describes the procedures that must be followed by companies or other organisations located in the United Kingdom (UK), British Overseas Territories, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, who submit applications through the UK for the management and processing of satellite filings. It includes details of the coordination and registration processes that are needed to achieve international recognition under the ITU procedures.

1.7 Ofcom represents the UK Government in the ITU and acts as the UK notifying administration under the ITU procedures. The general role and functions of Ofcom are set out in section 3 of this document.

1.4 Spectrum and associated orbits therefore have to be managed and planned in order to avoid interference. The international nature of satellite services means that this coordination must take place within a framework of international rules administered by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), as further described in section 2 of this document.

1.5 This document describes the procedures that must be followed by companies or other organisations that are registered, or have their headquarters, in the United Kingdom (UK), British Overseas Territories, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man and that wish to submit applications through the UK for the management and processing of satellite filings. It includes details of the coordination and registration processes that are needed to achieve international recognition under the ITU procedures.

1.8 Ofcom represents the UK Government in the ITU and acts as the UK notifying administration under the ITU procedures. The general role and functions of Ofcom are set out in section 3 of this document. In carrying out this role, we seek to promote efficient use of spectrum, support innovation and growth and enable competition for the benefit of UK consumers.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

- 1.9 This document consolidates all previous documents published by the Radiocommunications Agency and Ofcom on this subject, including:
- a) RA 301 – Procedures of the United Kingdom Administration in Relation to Satellite Networks<sup>2</sup>;
  - b) Procedures for Authorisation of Satellite Networks – A consultation on procedures for the international notification and coordination of satellite networks<sup>3</sup>;
  - c) Procedures for Authorisation of Satellite Networks – A statement on procedures for the management of filings and international coordination for satellite networks<sup>4</sup>;
  - d) Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings, Ofcom, 29 March 2007;
  - e) Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings – Charges and amendments to procedures. Consultation 8 November 2007<sup>5</sup>;
  - f) Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings – A statement on amendments to the procedures, Ofcom, 30 May 2008<sup>6</sup>;
  - g) Statement on the Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings, 7 May 2010<sup>7</sup>; and,
  - h) Procedures for the management of satellite filings – Consultation on proposed changes, Ofcom, 28 April 2015<sup>8</sup>.

1.10 This document also takes into account changes to the Radio Regulations agreed by the 2015 World Radio Conference which are relevant to the management of satellite filings.

- 1.10 This document consolidates all previous procedures documents published by the Radiocommunications Agency and Ofcom on this subject, including:
- a) [RA 301 – Procedures of the United Kingdom Administration in Relation to Satellite Networks](#);
  - b) [Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings, Ofcom, 29 March 2007](#);
  - c) [Procedures for the Management of Satellite Filings, Ofcom, 30 March 2016](#);
  - d) [Procedures for the Management of satellite Filings, Ofcom, 14 March 2019](#).

1.11 This document also takes into account changes to the Radio Regulations agreed by the 2019 and 2023 World Radiocommunication Conferences which are relevant to the management of satellite filings.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

1.11 Any reference in this document to the ITU Radio Regulations and the international legal provisions is provided for information purposes only. All references are correct, as far as Ofcom is aware, at the time of publication of these procedures. Any applicant is recommended to obtain its own advice prior to making an application in accordance with these procedures and undertake such technical investigations as it may deem necessary.

1.12 A glossary of terms used in this document can be found in Annex 1.

1.12 Any reference in this document to the ITU Radio Regulations and the international legal provisions is provided for information purposes only. All references are correct, as far as Ofcom is aware, at the time of publication of these procedures. Applicants are encouraged to obtain their own advice prior to making an application in accordance with these procedures and undertake such technical investigations as they may deem necessary.

1.13 A glossary of terms used in this document is included at Annex 1.

## Section 2

Current version	Proposed updates
<p>[Subheading after paragraph 2.5]</p> <p>The Radio-Frequency Spectrum and the Geostationary Orbit – Scarce Resources</p>	<p>[Subheading after paragraph 2.5]</p> <p>The Radio-Frequency Spectrum and Orbits – Scarce Resources</p>
<p>2.6 The position in outer space, or orbital slot, occupied by a satellite determines the area of the earth that its signals can reach and its orbit will determine whether it remains over the same area as the earth rotates. Certain orbital locations are in short supply. Most communications satellites operate in the geostationary-satellite orbit (GSO) which is at an altitude of 35,800 km in the plane of the equator. The importance of this orbit is that at this altitude, each satellite rotates around the earth’s axis every 24 hours and so appears to be stationary above a fixed point on the earth. A satellite network of three geostationary satellites positioned 120° apart can cover most of the surface of the earth, although coverage is limited to regions below around 75 degrees of latitude. The GSO represents a scarce natural resource, as does the radio-frequency spectrum. It is thus essential to have an efficient global mechanism to assign and coordinate frequencies and to assign orbital slots on the GSO.</p>	<p>2.6 The position in outer space occupied by a satellite determines the area of the earth that its signals can reach. In addition, its orbit and its distance from the Earth will determine which area the signals will cover and may also affect the strength of those signals.</p> <p>2.7 Some communications and broadcast satellites operate in the geostationary-satellite orbit (GSO) which is at an altitude of 35,800 km in the plane of the equator. The importance of this orbit is that at this altitude, each satellite rotates around the earth’s axis every 24 hours and so appears to be stationary above a fixed point on the earth. A satellite network of three geostationary satellites positioned 120° apart can cover most of the surface of the earth, although coverage may be limited to regions below around 75 degrees of latitude. The GSO represents a scarce natural resource, as does the radio-frequency spectrum. It is thus essential to have an efficient global mechanism to assign and coordinate frequencies and to assign orbital slots on the GSO.</p>
<p>2.7 Other applications for satellite networks use orbits at lower altitudes than the GSO where the satellites do not appear to be stationary to an observer on earth. Similar procedures for coordination are also provided for these networks.</p>	<p>2.8 Other applications for satellite networks use orbits at lower or higher altitudes than the GSO where the satellites do not appear to be stationary to an observer on earth. Similar procedures for coordination are also provided for these networks.</p>

## Current version

## Proposed updates

2.8 The international nature of satellite services dictates that they must be managed internationally by the ITU. The role of the ITU Member States in relation to the regulation of the radio-frequency spectrum and orbital positions, such as positions in the GSO, is set out in Article 44 Para 2 (CS 196) of the Constitution of the ITU and re-iterated in No. 0.3 of the Radio Regulations. The latter states that:

“In using frequency bands for radio services, Members shall bear in mind that radio frequencies and the geostationary-satellite orbit are limited natural resources and that they must be used rationally, efficiently and economically, in conformity with the provisions of these Regulations, so that countries or groups of countries may have equitable access to both, taking into account the special needs of the developing countries and the geographical situation of particular countries”.

2.9

d) Other services include science services, such as the Earth Exploration Satellite Service.

[unnumbered paragraph after paragraph 2.9]

While MSS, FSS and BSS have particular regulatory meanings and are used for the allocation of spectrum, it should be noted that in practice there is some blurring and convergence in the applications for which they are used.

2.9 The international nature of satellite services dictates that the spectrum they use must be managed internationally by the ITU. The role of the ITU Member States in relation to the regulation of the radio-frequency spectrum and orbital positions, such as positions in the GSO, is set out in Article 44 Para 2 (CS 196) of the Constitution of the ITU and re-iterated in No. 0.3 of the Radio Regulations. The latter states that:

“In using frequency bands for radio services, Members shall bear in mind that radio frequencies and any associated orbits, including the geostationary-satellite orbit, are limited natural resources and that they must be used rationally, efficiently and economically, in conformity with the provisions of the Radio Regulations, so that countries or groups of countries may have equitable access to both, taking into account the special needs of the developing countries and the geographical situation of particular countries”.

2.10

d) Other services include science services, such as the Earth Exploration Satellite Service, and the Radio Navigation Satellite Services (like GPS).

2.11 While MSS, FSS and BSS have particular regulatory meanings and are used for the allocation of spectrum, it should be noted that in practice there is some blurring and convergence in the applications for which they are used.

2.12 A more complete list of satellite services and definitions can be found in Article 1 of the ITU Radio Regulations.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

2.11 Section IV of Article 5 of the Radio Regulations provides the Table of Frequency Allocations (Table). This Table sets out, frequency band by frequency band, which radiocommunication services have allocations in each of the three ITU Regions. The Regions are broadly defined in No. 5.2 as: Region 1, Europe/Africa; Region 2, Americas; and Region 3, Asia/Oceania. The UK falls within Region 1. Each ITU Member State is free to deviate from this Table, but only to the extent that harmful interference is not caused to any other ITU Member State that is using the spectrum in accordance with Article 5 of the Radio Regulations. No. 4.2 of the Radio Regulations states:

“Member States undertake that in assigning frequencies to stations which are capable of causing harmful interference to the services rendered by the stations of another country, such assignments are to be made in accordance with the Table of Frequency Allocations and other provisions of these Regulations.”

No. 4.4 continues by stating that:

“Administrations of the Member States shall not assign to a station any frequency in derogation of either the Table of Frequency Allocations in this Chapter or the other provisions of these Regulations, except on the express condition that such a station, when using such a frequency assignment, shall not cause harmful interference to, and shall not claim protection from harmful interference caused by, a station operating in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, the Convention and these Regulations.”

2.14 Section IV of Article 5 of the Radio Regulations provides the Table of Frequency Allocations (Table). This Table sets out, frequency band by frequency band, which radiocommunication services have allocations in each of the three ITU Regions. The Regions are broadly defined in No. 5.2 as: Region 1, Europe/Africa; Region 2, Americas; and Region 3, Asia/Oceania. The UK falls within Region 1.

---

[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 2.12]

Operations under No. 4.4 of the Radio Regulations

2.16 In general, we expect applicants to apply for frequency assignments which fall within the Table. However, we understand that there may be some cases where this is not possible.

2.17 An ITU Member State may deviate from the Table, but only to the extent that harmful interference is not caused to any other ITU Member State that is using the spectrum in accordance with Article 5 of the Radio Regulations. No. 4.2 of the Radio Regulations states:

“Member States undertake that in assigning frequencies to stations which are capable of causing harmful interference to the services rendered by the stations of another country, such assignments are to be made in accordance with the Table of Frequency Allocations and other provisions of these Regulations.”

No. 4.4 continues by stating that:

“Administrations of the Member States shall not assign to a station any frequency in derogation of either the Table of Frequency Allocations in this Chapter or the other provisions of these Regulations, except on the express condition that such a station, when using such a frequency assignment, shall not cause harmful interference to, and shall not claim protection from harmful interference caused by, a station operating in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, the Convention and these Regulations.”

2.18 Further information on how we evaluate applications under No. 4.4 is provided in Section 6.



## Current version

## Proposed updates

2.14 The specific procedures setting out the rights and obligations of each administration in relation to orbit and spectrum management and providing the means to achieve radiocommunication in a controlled interference environment have been laid down by successive ITU World Radio Conferences (WRCs) based on two main principles: efficient use and equitable access. Two mechanisms for the sharing of orbit and spectrum resources have been developed and implemented:

- a) a priori planning procedures which guarantee equitable access to orbit/spectrum resources for future use; and,
- b) coordination procedures.

[unnumbered paragraph after paragraph 2.14]

- ii) other non-GSO networks for which only the advance publication procedure is necessary (see section 2.20(b) below).

2.18 As mentioned in section 2.16, for services in non-planned bands the use of the resource is implemented through a first-come-first-served procedure based on the principle that rights are acquired through negotiations with other administrations (i.e. coordination agreements). Successful coordination will lead to the international recognition of the use of the assignments of the networks and the recording of their assignments in the Master Register.

[Footnote 9 on Advance Publication Information subheading after paragraph 2.19]

From 1 July 2016, it will not be possible anymore to submit the advanced publication information (API) for those satellite networks subject to the coordination procedure described in Section II of Article 9. Those applicants wishing to submit an API prior to 1 July 2016 are invited to contact Ofcom for further guidance.

2.22 The specific procedures setting out the rights and obligations of each administration in relation to orbit and spectrum management and providing the means to achieve radiocommunication in a controlled interference environment have been laid down by successive ITU World Radiocommunication Conferences (WRCs) based on two main principles: efficient use and equitable access. Two mechanisms for the sharing of orbit and spectrum resources have been developed and implemented:

- a) a priori planning procedures which are designed to provide equitable access to orbit/spectrum resources for future use; and,
- b) coordination procedures.

2.23

- ii) other non-GSO networks for which only the advance publication procedure is necessary (see section 2.28(a) below).

2.27 As mentioned in section 2.25, for services in non-planned bands the use of the resource is implemented through a first-come-first-served procedure based on the principle that rights are acquired through negotiations with other administrations (i.e. coordination agreements). Successful coordination will lead to the international recognition of the use of the assignments of the networks and the recording of their assignments in the Master Register.

[Footnote removed]

## Current version

## Proposed updates

[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 2.32]

EPFD masks

2.43 Article 22 of the Radio Regulations contains equivalent power flux-density (EPFD) limits applicable to non-GSO systems in certain bands to ensure the protection of GSO networks in the same bands without the need for individual coordination.

2.44 Non-GSO systems to which this applies are required to supply additional information describing the operation of their system, so that the ITU-BR can determine their compliance with the relevant limits in Article 22.

2.45 This additional information, specified in Appendix 4 of the Radio Regulations, is provided in the form of power-flux density (pfd) and effective isotropic radiated power (eirp) masks. Recommendation ITU-R S.1503 provides guidelines for their generation and application.

2.46 It is the responsibility of the applicant to prepare these masks and supply them along with the required information set out in Table 1 – Due Diligence Requirements.

2.47 Operations of non-GSO satellite systems are expected to be carried out within the envelope set out by the filing and by the masks supplied, and applicants will be required to supply a letter of commitment to Ofcom indicating that they shall not operate outside of the limits specified by the mask.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

2.33 The notification of a frequency assignment to the ITU-BR in accordance with Article 11 of the Radio Regulations is the final regulatory step leading to the recording of the frequency assignment in the Master Register. The provisions relating to notification of frequency assignments are primarily stipulated in Article 11 of the Radio Regulations, except for certain services which affect or which are related to the planned assignments. The importance of a recording in the Master Register is mentioned in section 2.13 above.

2.39 An important feature of this procedure is that the Master Register provides, as well as the basic characteristics of the assignment, an indication of each assignment's status with respect to the other assignments, reflecting the findings issued at the time when it was recorded in the Master Register. The contents of the Master Register are published periodically in DVD format. In the interval between issues administrations are kept informed of new assignments notified to the ITU-BR and of the findings formulated in this respect by way of the BR IFIC.

[Cross reference updated – from section 2.13 to section 2.19]

2.48 The notification of a frequency assignment to the ITU-BR in accordance with Article 11 of the Radio Regulations is the final regulatory step leading to the recording of the frequency assignment in the Master Register. The provisions relating to notification of frequency assignments are primarily stipulated in Article 11 of the Radio Regulations, except for certain services which affect or which are related to the planned assignments. The importance of a recording in the Master Register is mentioned in section 2.19 above.

2.54 An important feature of this procedure is that the Master Register provides, as well as the basic characteristics of the assignment, an indication of each assignment's status with respect to the other assignments, reflecting the findings issued at the time when it was recorded in the Master Register. In the interval between issues administrations are kept informed of new assignments notified to the ITU-BR and of the findings formulated in this respect by way of the BR IFIC.

---

[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 2.39]

#### Non-GSO deployment milestones

2.55 To ensure non-GSO systems are deployed in a timely manner, WRC-19 introduced a milestones process, which applies after the 7-year notification period. The non-GSO deployment milestones process is set out in Resolution 35 of the Radio Regulations. Resolution 35 also sets out the types of non-GSO systems and the frequencies which are required to comply.

2.56 Where a non-GSO system has been brought into use in the frequency bands and services listed in Resolution 35 of the Radio Regulations, deployment information must be submitted to the ITU-BR no later than the below specified deadlines.

[new table]

Milestone Number: M0

Percentage of constellation to be deployed: 1 satellite

Deadline for information to be supplied to ITU-BR: 7-year limit + 30 days

Milestone Number: M1

Percentage of constellation to be deployed: 10%

Deadline for information to be supplied to ITU-BR: 7-year limit + 2 years + 30 days

Milestone Number: M2

Percentage of constellation to be deployed: 50%

Deadline for information to be supplied to ITU-BR: 7-year limit + 5 years + 30 days

Milestone Number: M3

Percentage of constellation to be deployed: 100%

Deadline for information to be supplied to ITU-BR: 7-year limit + 7 years + 30 days

2.57 Notifying administrations shall also submit to ITU-BR, no later than 90 days after the expiry of each of the milestone periods, the modifications to the characteristics of the notified or recorded frequency assignments if

---

the number of space stations declared as deployed:

- a) For M1 - If less than 10% of the total number of satellites (rounded down to the lower integer) indicated in the latest notification information published in Part I S of the BR IFIC for the frequency assignments; in this case, the modified total number of satellites shall not be greater than 10 times the number of space stations declared as deployed.
- b) For M2 - If less than 50% of the total number of satellites (rounded down to the lower integer) indicated in the latest notification information published in Part I S of the BR IFIC for the frequency assignments; in this case, the modified total number of satellites shall not be greater than two times the number of space stations declared as deployed.
- c) For M3 - If less than 100% of the total number of satellites indicated in the latest notification information published in Part I S of the BR IFIC for the frequency assignments; in this case, the modified total number of satellites shall not be greater than the number of space stations declared as deployed.

2.58 Any required modifications are to be prepared by the operator and submitted to Ofcom for review prior to being submitted to the ITU-BR

[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 2.39]

## Non-GSO orbital tolerances

2.59 Specific orbital tolerances apply to non-GSO systems having an orbital eccentricity less than 0.5 and an apogee altitude less than 15,000 km notified as part of a non-GSO FSS, BSS or MSS system subject to non-GSO Milestones (See Resolution 35 of the Radio Regulations), See Resolution 8 of the Radio Regulations).

2.60 The following tolerances are permitted for deviations between the notified and observed orbital characteristics of non-GSO systems:

Apogee and Perigee Altitudes:

For altitudes  $\leq 2,000$  km:  $\pm 70$  km.

For altitudes  $> 2,000$  km:  $\pm 5\%$  of the notified altitude.

Inclination Angle:

For altitudes  $\leq 2,000$  km:  $\pm 2^\circ$ .

For altitudes  $> 2,000$  km:  $\pm 3^\circ$ .

2.61 Administrations must provide deployment information to the ITU-BR regarding the observed orbital characteristics of their satellites. This information should include the observed distances to the apogee and perigee along with the observed inclination angles, as set out in Annex 1 of Resolution 8.

2.62 Where a satellite has been used for the purpose of bringing into use or bringing back into use or counted towards the non-GSO deployment milestone:

a) the maximum allowed difference between the observed distance to the apogee or perigee of the space station and the distances to the apogee or perigee of a space station previously declared under this Resolution is 30 km;

b) the maximum allowed difference between the observed angle of inclination of the orbital plane of the space station and the angle of inclination of the orbital plane of a space station previously declared under this

**Current version**

**Proposed updates**

Resolution is 2° (for a notified altitude of the apogee/notified altitude of the perigee of 2 000 km or less), or 3° (for a notified altitude of the apogee/notified altitude of the perigee greater than 2 000 km).

[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 2.39]

#### Non-GSO post-milestone reporting

2.63 Where a non-GSO system has completed the milestone process described above, notifying administrations shall communicate to ITU-BR the required deployment information (See Annex 1, Resolution 35 of the Radio Regulations). This is required no later than 30 days after the expiry of the 11-year period after the end of the seven-year regulatory period.

2.64 Thereafter, notifying administrations must provide the deployment information every four years thereafter to the ITU-BR. This information must be provided to the ITU-BR no later than 30 days after the expiry of a four-year period.

2.65 If the number of satellites considered as part of the system falls below the total number of satellites published in the BR IFIC<sup>11</sup> then the notifying administration shall:

- a) provide a report to the ITU-BR, containing the date when this event, or events, began, and a general explanation of the event(s) for each affected satellite. This report should be submitted each year within 30 days of the date on which the milestones process was completed;
- b) provide to the ITU-BR the date or dates on which each affected satellite was restored to operational status or replaced. This should either be submitted as part of the annual report under resolves 22a, or with the next report under resolves 19 or 20, whichever comes first.

2.66 These reports are for information purposes only.

[Footnote 11 - The total number of satellites may either be listed in the Master Register in Part II S of the BR IFIC or in the latest notification information published in BR IFIC (Part I S) for the frequency assignments]



## Current version

## Proposed updates

2.40 For planned bands, the ITU procedures are different, as explained below. As briefly mentioned in section 2.17, planned bands are those subject to a priori planning procedures. This a priori planning seeks to guarantee equitable access to orbit/spectrum resources for future use, as referred to in Article 44 Para 2 (CS 196) of the Constitution of the ITU and No. 0.3 of the Radio Regulations. No coordination is required for assignments contained in the plans.

2.48

c) due diligence information (ITU-R Resolution 49, see section 2.50), where applicable, being submitted within the defined regulatory time limit.

2.52 The due diligence information required is set out in Annex 2 of Resolution 49 and includes specific details of the satellite network operator and details of the API and coordination request publications. The information must also include details of contractual undertakings related to the construction and launch of the relevant satellites. The information should be provided to the ITU-BR as early as possible before bringing into use of the frequency assignment, but in any case it must be submitted before the end of the seven year period established as a limit to bringing into use a satellite network for non-planned bands.

2.67 For planned bands, the ITU procedures are different, as explained below. As briefly mentioned in section 2.26, planned bands are those subject to a priori planning procedures. This a priori planning seeks to guarantee equitable access to orbit/spectrum resources for future use, as referred to in Article 44 Para 2 (CS 196) of the Constitution of the ITU and No. 0.3 of the Radio Regulations. No coordination is required for assignments contained in the plans.

2.77

c) due diligence information (ITU-R Resolution 49, see section 2.79), where applicable, being submitted within the defined regulatory time limit.

2.81 The due diligence information required is set out in Annex 2 of Resolution 49 and includes specific details of the satellite network operator and details of the API and coordination request publications. The information must also include details of contractual undertakings related to the construction and launch of the relevant satellites. The information should be provided to the ITU-BR as early as possible before bringing into use of the frequency assignment, but in any case it must be submitted before the end of the seven year period established as a limit to bringing into use a satellite network for non-planned bands. We set out our due diligence process in section 5.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

2.55 In cases where a notifying administration informs the Bureau, pursuant to section 2.54 above, that it has brought into use, or resumed the use after suspension of, a frequency assignment to a space station in a geostationary satellite network with a satellite that has previously been used to bring into use, or resume the use of, frequency assignments at a different orbital location within three years prior to the date of submission of this information, the notifying administration is also required by the Radio Regulations to indicate, for that same three-year period, some pre-determined details about the operational history of that satellite<sup>13</sup>.

[Footnote 13 - The provision of the information described in sections 2.54 and 2.55 derives from the relevant decisions made at WRC-15. It should be noted that, when notifying the Bureau of the bringing into use, or resuming the use after suspension of frequency assignments, Ofcom may ask for more information than that prescribed by the ITU.]

2.84 In cases where a notifying administration informs the Bureau, pursuant to section 2.83 above, that it has brought into use, or resumed the use after suspension of, a frequency assignment to a space station in a geostationary satellite network with a satellite that has previously been used to bring into use, or resume the use of, frequency assignments at a different orbital location within three years prior to the date of submission of this information, the notifying administration is also required by the Radio Regulations to indicate, for that same three-year period, some pre-determined details about the operational history of that satellite<sup>14</sup>.

[Footnote 14 - The provision of the information described in sections 2.83 and 2.84 derives from the relevant decisions made at WRC-15. It should be noted that, when notifying the Bureau of the bringing into use, or resuming the use after suspension of frequency assignments, Ofcom may ask for more information than that prescribed by the ITU under Resolution 40 of the Radio Regulations.]

## Current version

## Proposed updates

[New paragraphs to be inserted after paragraph 2.55]

2.85 Under a decision made in the 2012 World Radiocommunication Conference (WRC-12) [Footnote - See Minutes of the 13th Plenary Meeting, WRC-12], it was recognised that an administration can bring into use, or continue the use of, frequency assignments for one of its satellite networks by using a space station which is under the responsibility of another administration or intergovernmental organization. This is provided that this latter administration or intergovernmental organization, after having been informed, does not object, within 90 days from the date of receipt of information, to the use of this space station for such purposes.

2.86 In the case where we become aware of a request from another administration to use a UK-licensed satellite to bring into use or continue the use of a frequency assignment, we would discuss this request with the CAA (as the UK's satellite licensing authority) and other relevant Government departments as appropriate.

[Subheading after paragraph 2.55]

World Radio Conferences

[Subheading after paragraph 2.86]

World Radiocommunication Conferences

2.59 The UK's obligations under the various United Nations space treaties and principles are implemented through the UK Outer Space Act 1986. The licensing mechanism set out in the Outer Space Act 1986 is administered in the UK by the UK Space Agency. Applicants who require a licence under the Outer Space Act 1986 should contact the UK Space Agency.

2.90 The UK's obligations under the various United Nations space treaties and principles are implemented through the UK Outer Space Act 1986, Space Industry Act 2018 and associated regulations. The licensing and regulatory mechanisms set out in this legislation are administered in the UK by the independent regulator, the Civil Aviation Authority. Applicants who may require a licence under the Outer Space Act 1986 or Space Industry Act 2018 should contact the Civil Aviation Authority.

## Section 3

Current version	Proposed updates
[New footnote to be inserted after 'DTI' in paragraph 3.3(b)]	Footnote 19 - The Department of Trade and Industry, which was the sponsoring department for spectrum management at this time. This responsibility now sits with the Department for Science, Innovation and Technology (DSIT).
[New paragraph to be inserted after paragraph 3.3]	3.4 In carrying out this role, we discuss issues with relevant UK Government departments and agencies as needed. We may also share relevant satellite filing information with these departments and agencies on a confidential basis. We may do this at any stage of the satellite filings process and throughout the lifetime of the filing in relation to any decision which may affect the national security or interests of the UK, as determined by the UK Government. In line with section 22 of the 2003 Act, the Secretary of State may require Ofcom to act in accordance with any guidance it provides.
3.7 In the case of applications submitted on behalf of companies located in British Overseas Territories, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, Ofcom will consult with the relevant governments of these territories. Additionally, applications that may impact frequency assignments (either planned or non-planned) to these territories will be considered in consultation with the governments of these territories.	3.8 In the case of applications submitted on behalf of companies that are registered, or have their headquarters, in the British Overseas Territories, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, Ofcom will consult with the relevant governments of these territories. Additionally, applications that may impact frequency assignments (either planned or non-planned) to these territories will be considered in consultation with the governments of these territories.

## Section 4

Current version	Proposed updates
4.1 Fax: +44 (0)20 7981 3208	[Fax number to be deleted]
[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 4.8]	<p>Applications for UK2.1 frequencies</p> <p>4.9 Where an applicant makes a submission that contains frequencies that are identified as UK2.1 within the UK Frequency Allocation Table, Ofcom may consult with the UK Ministry of Defence (MOD) prior to the submission being made to the ITU-BR. Consultation with the MOD will occur prior to the initial submission and again prior to the notification of a satellite filing being made to the ITU-BR. Ofcom will only submit the information to the ITU once the MOD has confirmed it has no objections to the application.</p> <p>4.10 Where an applicant requests the submission of notification information to the ITU-BR the MOD may require the applicant to enter into a contract with them, setting out conditions for holding a filing for UK2.1 spectrum. In these instances, Ofcom shall only submit the information to the ITU-BR once the contract has been entered into.</p> <p>4.11 Failure to comply with this contract may lead to the filing being cancelled.</p>

## Current version

## Proposed updates

[New subheading to be inserted after paragraph 4.8]

### Space Sustainability

4.12 Satellites licensed by the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) must meet [requirements](#) related to space sustainability, including providing space debris mitigation and end of life plans. The CAA also requires licensees to operate in line with good practices, including (at a minimum) the [IADC Space Debris Mitigation guidelines](#).

4.13 The Procedures set out in this document do not include specific requirements related to space debris or environmental issues. However, as a matter of best practice, Ofcom encourages all applicants, including those not licensed by the CAA, to adhere to relevant guidelines on space sustainability, including the IADC Space Debris Mitigation guidelines.

## Section 5

Current version	Proposed updates
<p>5.6 When applicants have completed a milestone in Table 1 or 2 they must inform Ofcom of this as soon as practicable.</p>	<p>5.6 When applicants have completed a deliverable in Table 1 or 2 they must inform Ofcom of this as soon as practicable.</p>
<p>[Table 1 – Due diligence requirements]</p>	<p>[All instances of ‘milestones’ or ‘milestone’ in this table have been replaced with ‘deliverables’]</p>
<p>[Table 1 – Due diligence requirements]</p>	<p>[New point 5 inserted under Stage 1, in the second column]</p> <p>5. PFD masks – non-GSO systems containing frequencies subject to Article 22 of the Radio Regulations</p>
<p>[Table 1 – Due diligence requirements]</p>	<p>[Additional wording inserted under Stage 3, in the second column, at the end of point 4 (before subpoint 4(a))]</p> <p>See Resolution 40 of the Radio Regulations</p>

**Current version**

**Proposed updates**

[Table 1 – Due diligence requirements]

[New rows to be inserted at the end of the table to describe new stages 5-9 for non-GSO systems]

Stage 5

Deliverables: Resolution 35 – non-GSO  
Milestone 0 Information

Complete not later than: 2 months before the end of the 7-year regulatory period

Stage 6

Deliverables: Resolution 35 – non-GSO  
Milestone 1 Information

Complete not later than: 22 months after the 7-year regulatory period

Stage 7

Deliverables: Resolution 35 – non-GSO  
Milestone 2 Information

Complete not later than: 58 months after the 7-year regulatory period

Stage 8

Deliverables: Resolution 35 – non-GSO  
Milestone 3 Information

Complete not later than: 82 months after the 7-year regulatory period

Stage 9

Deliverables: Resolution 35 – Post-milestone Information

Complete not later than: The end of each four year period after completion of non-GSO milestone reporting (stage 8)



## Current version

## Proposed updates

5.8 We would normally expect evidence of milestone completion to be available well ahead of the deadline. If the milestone has not been met by the deadline, Ofcom may consider whether it would be appropriate to cancel the filing. The applicant may ask Ofcom to extend the deadline for a particular milestone, explaining the exceptional circumstances justifying the request. Ofcom may decide to extend such a deadline. If the applicant has not met the relevant milestone by this extended deadline then Ofcom may consider whether it would be appropriate to cancel the filing.

5.8 We would normally expect evidence of completion of deliverables to be available well ahead of the deadline. If the deliverable has not been completed by the deadline, Ofcom may consider whether it would be appropriate to cancel the filing. The applicant may ask Ofcom to extend the deadline for a particular deliverable, explaining the exceptional circumstances justifying the request. Ofcom may decide to extend such a deadline. If the applicant has not completed the relevant deliverable by this extended deadline then Ofcom may consider whether it would be appropriate to cancel the filing.

5.9 The applicant must inform Ofcom, as soon as possible, of any change to the business plan which:

- a) has an impact on the ability to meet the milestones (described in Table 1) as originally communicated; or,
- b) necessitates any modification to the technical parameters of the filing.

5.9 The applicant must inform Ofcom, as soon as possible, of any change to the business plan which:

- a) has an impact on the ability to meet the deliverables (described in Table 1) as originally communicated; or,
- b) necessitates any modification to the technical parameters of the filing.

5.10 If the change to the business plan is such that the project is not likely to meet Ofcom's milestones as described in Table 1 or that the project cannot be completed within the relevant regulatory period(s) of the filing or that the technical parameters of the filing need to be changed, Ofcom may consider what appropriate actions should be taken. These may include cancelling the filing.

5.10 If the change to the business plan is such that the project is not likely to meet Ofcom's timelines as described in Table 1 or that the project cannot be completed within the relevant regulatory period(s) of the filing or that the technical parameters of the filing need to be changed, Ofcom may consider what appropriate actions should be taken. These may include cancelling the filing.

[Subheading after Paragraph 5.10]

Milestone requirements and progress monitoring

[Subheading after Paragraph 5.10]

Due diligence requirements and progress monitoring

## Current version

## Proposed updates

5.11 Applicants will provide to Ofcom yearly progress reports for each satellite network indicating any variations from the previously submitted business plan and also details of their coordination progress and status. Such reports should contain, at a minimum:

- a) project activities undertaken, or completed, for each of the individual milestones;
- b) frequency coordination activities undertaken, or completed, in the previous months; and,
- c) information about any changes or updates to the latest version of the business plan submitted to Ofcom.

5.14 In the event that a progress report indicates that, unless modified, the project is not likely to meet Ofcom's milestones as described in Table 1 or that the project will no longer be completed within the satellite network's regulatory deadline, Ofcom may consider what appropriate actions should be taken. These may include cancelling the filing.

5.11 Applicants will provide to Ofcom yearly progress reports for each satellite network indicating any variations from the previously submitted business plan and also details of their coordination progress and status. Such reports should contain, at a minimum:

- a) project activities undertaken, or completed, for each of the individual deliverables;
- b) frequency coordination activities undertaken, or completed, in the previous months; and,
- c) information about any changes or updates to the latest version of the business plan submitted to Ofcom.

5.14 In the event that a progress report indicates that, unless modified, the project is not likely to meet Ofcom's timelines as described in Table 1 or that the project will no longer be completed within the satellite network's regulatory deadline, Ofcom may consider what appropriate actions should be taken. These may include cancelling the filing.

## Section 6

### Current version

### Proposed updates

[New paragraph to be inserted after paragraph 6.1]

6.2 As set out in Section 3, we discuss issues related to satellite filings with relevant UK Government departments and agencies as needed. We may also share relevant satellite filing information with these departments and agencies on a confidential basis. We may do this at any stage of the satellite filings process and throughout the lifetime of the filing in relation to any decision which may affect the national security or interests of the UK, as determined by the UK Government.

6.4 Except where section 6.5 below applies, for those frequency assignments which are subject to Section II of Article 9, Ofcom will not submit notification data for the subject satellite network to the ITU-BR unless the operator presents evidence that coordination has been completed with affected non-UK networks with higher regulatory precedence. In such cases, the applicant must submit copies of the completed coordination agreements to Ofcom.

6.5 Except where section 6.6 below applies, for those frequency assignments which are subject to Section II of Article 9, Ofcom will not submit notification data for the subject satellite network to the ITU-BR unless the operator presents evidence that coordination has been completed with affected non-UK networks with higher regulatory precedence. In such cases, the applicant must submit copies of the completed coordination agreements to Ofcom.

6.9 Except where section 6.10 below applies, for those frequency assignments which are subject to Section II of Article 9, Ofcom will not submit notification data for the subject satellite network to the ITU-BR unless the operator presents evidence that coordination has been completed with affected UK networks with higher regulatory precedence, including those of a British Overseas Territory, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man. In such cases, the applicant will submit copies of the completed coordination agreements to Ofcom.

6.10 Except where section 6.11 below applies, for those frequency assignments which are subject to Section II of Article 9, Ofcom will not submit notification data for the subject satellite network to the ITU-BR unless the operator presents evidence that coordination has been completed with affected UK networks with higher regulatory precedence, including those of a British Overseas Territory, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man. In such cases, the applicant will submit copies of the completed coordination agreements to Ofcom.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

6.14 If the subject application is brought into use without coordination being completed (see sections 6.5 and 6.10 above) with existing frequency assignments or filings, and any of such existing assignment or filing has been or is subsequently brought into use within its regulatory period and suffers harmful interference from the subject network, then the subject network must mitigate that interference. Failure to do so may lead to Ofcom exercising its powers set out in section 12 of this document.

6.17 If a proposed satellite filing is not technically compatible with the proposed or existing usage of an unmodified or modified UK assignment or modification/additional use contained in a frequency assignment plan (e.g. the BSS Plan for Regions 1 and 3 contained in Appendix 30 of the Radio Regulations), i.e. an unmodified planned UK assignment has been made available to an operator or an operator has already applied to modify such a planned UK assignment, the applicant will be required to obtain the agreement of the operator of the affected assignment before Ofcom can submit the new filing to the ITU.

6.15 If the subject application is brought into use without coordination being completed (see sections 6.6 and 6.11 above) with existing frequency assignments or filings, and any of such existing assignment or filing has been or is subsequently brought into use within its regulatory period and suffers harmful interference from the subject network, then the subject network must mitigate that interference. Failure to do so may lead to Ofcom exercising its powers set out in section 12 of this document.

6.18 If a proposed satellite filing is not technically compatible with the proposed or existing usage of a UK assignment<sup>28</sup>, the applicant will be required to obtain the agreement of the operator of the affected assignment before Ofcom can submit the new filing to the ITU.

[Footnote 28 - This also applies to modified UK assignments and/or additional use contained in a frequency assignment plan (e.g. the BSS Plan for Regions 1 and 3 contained in Appendix 30 of the Radio Regulations).]

---

[New subheading to be inserted after Paragraph 6.21]

Applications under No. 4.4 of the Radio Regulations

6.23 As explained in Section 2, in general we expect applicants to apply for frequency assignments and services which fall within the Frequency Allocation Table of the Radio Regulations. However, we understand that there may be some exceptional cases where this is not possible.

6.24 When we receive an application for use under No. 4.4. we will consider the following factors in making a decision:

a) whether the requested frequencies are under consideration to be included in the Radio Regulations in a future World Radiocommunication Conference;

b) whether there is evidence that the application could promote economic growth and enable early realisation of citizen and consumer benefits from new services or technologies; and

c) whether there is reason to be confident that the proposed use would not result in harmful interference to other authorised spectrum users.

6.25 Under the ITU Radio Regulations, Ofcom is responsible for ensuring that any frequency assignments operating under No. 4.4. do not cause harmful interference to other frequency assignments that comply with the Radio Regulations. Therefore, an applicant wishing to apply to use frequencies under this arrangement will need to explain to Ofcom how it has determined that its planned use will not cause harmful interference to all other services (on land, in the air and in space). Ofcom may also request that the applicant provides technical evidence in support of their application. Ofcom reserves the right to share this evidence with third parties, including the ITU.

6.26 Prior to launching its first satellite(s), an operator planning to operate a satellite service under No. 4.4 must provide us with up-to-date contact details for its space operations centre

**Current version**

**Proposed updates**

---

(SOC). The SOC must be ready to immediately eliminate interference and/or cease operation of its service if required to do so by Ofcom.

6.27 We reserve the right to cancel a filing if we assess that the operator is not complying with our instructions related to complying with these requirements.

## Section 7

### Current version

### Proposed updates

7.8 Ofcom will acknowledge receipt of the notification data, as specified in Appendix 4 of the Radio Regulations, from the applicant within two working days. The information must be provided to Ofcom by the applicant in the current ITU software format. If the intention is for the assignments to be recorded in the MIFR under No. 11.41 of the Radio Regulations, the provisions set out in sections 6.4 to 6.8 apply. Ofcom will then aim to submit the data to the ITU-BR within 10 working days of its receipt from the applicant. Applicants will be sent copies of Ofcom's email submission to the ITU-BR and also of the ITU-BR's email confirmation of receipt of the data.

7.15 For any new application to use a frequency assignment covering a British Overseas Territory in a planned band, Ofcom will consult with the government of the British Overseas Territory to determine how to process the application (see sections 6.20 and 6.21 of this document).

7.16 Action under sections 6.15 to 6.21, as appropriate, will need to be completed before any action by Ofcom under this section 7 is taken. The following procedures will then apply.

7.22 Action under sections 6.15 to 6.21, as appropriate, will need to be completed before any action by Ofcom under this section 7 is taken. The following procedures will then apply.

[New footnote to be inserted on the 'Special Sections Publications' subheading after paragraph 7.28]

7.8 Ofcom will acknowledge receipt of the notification data, as specified in Appendix 4 of the Radio Regulations, from the applicant within two working days. The information must be provided to Ofcom by the applicant in the current ITU software format. If the intention is for the assignments to be recorded in the MIFR under No. 11.41 of the Radio Regulations, the provisions set out in sections 6.5 to 6.9 apply. Ofcom will then aim to submit the data to the ITU-BR within 10 working days of its receipt from the applicant. Applicants will be sent copies of Ofcom's email submission to the ITU-BR and also of the ITU-BR's email confirmation of receipt of the data.

7.15 For any new application to use a frequency assignment covering a British Overseas Territory in a planned band, Ofcom will consult with the government of the British Overseas Territory to determine how to process the application (see sections 6.21 and 6.22 of this document).

7.16 Action under sections 6.16 to 6.22, as appropriate, will need to be completed before any action by Ofcom under this section 7 is taken. The following procedures will then apply.

7.22 Action under sections 6.16 to 6.22, as appropriate, will need to be completed before any action by Ofcom under this section 7 is taken. The following procedures will then apply.

Footnote 29 - ITU "special sections" refer to specific publications within the BR IFIC that detail requests for coordination, advance publication information, and other relevant data for space services.

## Current version

## Proposed updates

7.29 The obligations under the Radio Regulations that Ofcom transfer to a satellite operator, as described in this document extend beyond the process leading to the recording of the assignments in the Master Register. Once the assignment is recorded the operator must engage in dialogue with the operators of later networks through the coordination process (as described in section 2.14 of this document).

7.29 The obligations under the Radio Regulations that Ofcom transfers to a satellite operator, as described in this document, extend beyond the process leading to the recording of the assignments in the Master Register. Once the assignment is recorded the operator must engage in dialogue with the operators of later networks through the coordination process (as described in section 2.22 of this document).

7.30 In order to aid the national administrations and their operators in the coordination process, the ITU produces a fortnightly publication called the 'International Frequency Information Circular - Space Services' (Space BR IFIC) which contains details of space networks proceeding through the various stages of the coordination and notification procedures. Administrations are required by the ITU-BR to identify issues of potential interference arising from these networks in accordance with the Radio Regulations procedures. Ofcom, in turn, passes this obligation on to operators.

7.30 In order to aid the national administrations and their operators in the coordination process, the ITU produces a fortnightly publication called the 'International Frequency Information Circular - Space Services' (Space BR IFIC) which contains details of space networks proceeding through the various stages of the coordination and notification procedures. Administrations are required by the ITU-BR to identify issues of potential interference arising from these networks in accordance with the Radio Regulations procedures. Ofcom, in turn, passes this obligation to operators.

7.31 The Special Sections are described in the preface for the BR IFIC<sup>25</sup>. In order to give each UK operator the best advantage in coordinating its networks, Ofcom, in its capacity as the UK administration, wishes to respond to all Special Sections in an appropriate manner and in accordance with the Radio Regulations. Operators are responsible for examining Special Sections and responding appropriately to Ofcom.

7.31 The Special Sections are described in the [preface for the BR IFIC](#). In order to give each UK operator the best advantage in coordinating its networks, Ofcom, in its capacity as the UK administration, wishes to respond to all Special Sections in an appropriate manner and in accordance with the Radio Regulations. Operators are responsible for examining Special Sections and responding appropriately to Ofcom.



### Current version

7.32 The BR IFIC can be obtained from the ITU for a one-off or annual subscription fee and comes in DVD-ROM format. The disc contains ITU software, Special Sections in PDF format and an Access Database. This database can also be downloaded, free of charge, from the website but the ITU recommends the use of the PDF versions published on the DVD-ROM as these are considered to be the definitive data source. The Special Sections contained on the BR IFIC are published in accordance with the procedures of Article 9 and Article 11 and Appendices 30, 30A and 30B of the Radio Regulations. A table containing a list of all current and previous Special Section types with descriptors is available on the ITU Website at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-R/space/snl/descss/index.asp>

### Proposed updates

7.32 The BR IFIC can be obtained from the ITU for a one-off or annual subscription fee and comes in DVD-ROM format. The disc contains ITU software, Special Sections in PDF format and an Access Database. This database can also be downloaded, free of charge, from the website but the ITU recommends the use of the PDF versions published on the DVD-ROM as these are considered to be the definitive data source. The Special Sections contained on the BR IFIC are published in accordance with the procedures of Article 9 and Article 11 and Appendices 30, 30A and 30B of the Radio Regulations. A table containing a list of all current and previous Special Section types with descriptors can be found in Section 2 of the [preface for the BR IFIC](#).

## Section 9

Current version	Proposed updates
<p>9.1 The applicant, including those from the British Overseas Territories, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, may need to obtain a licence granted by the UK Space Agency under the Outer Space Act 1986 (as extended to the British Overseas Territories where appropriate) before the date of the planned launch of the satellite. (See <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/uk-space-agency">https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/uk-space-agency</a>)</p>	<p>9.1 The applicant, including those from the British Overseas Territories, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, may need to <a href="#">obtain a licence granted by the Civil Aviation Authority</a> under the Outer Space Act 1986 or Space Industry Act 2018 (as extended to the British Overseas Territories where appropriate) before the date of the planned launch of the satellite.</p>
<p>9.2 In addition to any other legal requirements, applicants who intend to carry audio-visual content (television services) should consider whether a licence or authorisation is required for the content service(s). Operators may contact the Television Broadcast Licensing Team at Ofcom. (See <a href="http://www.ofcom.org.uk/tv/ifi/tvlicensing/">http://www.ofcom.org.uk/tv/ifi/tvlicensing/</a>)</p>	<p>9.2 In addition to any other legal requirements, applicants who intend to carry audio-visual content (television services) should consider whether a licence or authorisation is required for the content service(s). Operators can find more information about this on our <a href="#">website</a>.</p>
<p>[New paragraph to be inserted after paragraph 9.2]</p>	<p>9.3 Applicants planning satellite communications and other data services for consumers should also consider <a href="#">wider regulatory obligations</a> regarding the operation of their service.</p>
<p>9.3 Applicants are advised to check with appropriate administrations as to whether they are required to obtain national authorisations with respect to the use of the relevant frequencies or the provision of services.</p>	<p>9.4 Other UK legislation may also apply. Applicants are advised to check with appropriate administrations as to whether they are required to obtain national authorisations with respect to the use of the relevant frequencies or the provision of services.</p>

## Section 12

Current version	Proposed updates
<p>[Section title]</p> <p>Cancellation and relinquishment of UK satellite networks filings</p> <p>12.6 If, in Ofcom’s opinion, the information supplied by the operator shows that insufficient progress has been made against the milestone commitments, Ofcom will consult with the relevant operator. Ofcom will provide the operator with an opportunity to remedy the situation, to ensure that progress is brought into line with the milestone commitments, within a specified timeframe.</p>	<p>[Section title]</p> <p>Cancellation, suspension and relinquishment of UK satellite networks filings</p> <p>12.6 If, in Ofcom’s opinion, the information supplied by the operator shows that insufficient progress has been made against the deliverable commitments, Ofcom will consult with the relevant operator. Ofcom will provide the operator with an opportunity to remedy the situation, to ensure that progress is brought into line with the deliverable commitments, within a specified timeframe.</p>
<p>[Subheading after paragraph 12.9]</p> <p>Other Reasons for Cancellation</p>	<p>[Subheading after paragraph 12.9]</p> <p>Other Reasons for Suspension or Cancellation</p>

## Section 13

### Current version

13.8 If, in Ofcom's opinion, there is insufficient evidence of progress as against the milestone commitments on the basis of the information supplied, by the operator, Ofcom will consult with the relevant British Overseas Territory, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man and provide an opportunity in which to remedy the situation.

### Proposed updates

13.8 If, in Ofcom's opinion, there is insufficient evidence of progress as against the deliverable commitments on the basis of the information supplied by the operator, Ofcom will consult with the relevant British Overseas Territory, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man and provide an opportunity in which to remedy the situation.

## Annex 1

### Current version

### Proposed updates

[Due Diligence definition]

Process by which Ofcom ensures that an application to launch and operate a satellite network demonstrates sufficient technical, financial and legal credentials and by which progress against milestones are monitored.

[Due Diligence definition]

Process by which Ofcom ensures that an application to launch and operate a satellite network demonstrates sufficient technical, financial and legal credentials and by which progress against deliverables are monitored.

## Annex 2

Current version	Proposed updates
[Years shown in column headings] 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011	[Years shown in column headings] 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030
[ID 1 Task name] RFP for satellite(s)	[ID 1 Task name - acronym spelled out] Request for Proposal (RFP) for satellite(s)
[ID 4 Task name] Spacecraft CDR	[ID 4 Task name - acronym spelled out] Spacecraft Critical Design Review (CDR)