

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you have any com- ments on our proposal to make Me- dium Power licences (42 dBm EIRP, up to 10m height) commonly availa- ble in urban areas across most of the UK, for the 3.8-4.2 GHz and 1800 MHz bands?	Confidential? – N We agree that making Medium Power licences com- monly available in urban areas would be beneficial.
Question 2: Do you have any com- ments on our proposed balancing measures: i) to continue to only grant Medium Power licences in the Greater London area (as defined in our mm Wave work) by exception, applying the 'premises sterilisation' test? ii) to apply a 100 MHz limit to the amount of spectrum a licensee can transmit at Medium Power in a par- ticular urban area? iii) to apply a new price as part of this liberalisation, set at £160 per 10 MHz for Medium Power licences in urban areas?	Confidential? – N i) Agree ii) Agree iii) We object to price increase for medium power licences – it is double the previous price which reduces the commercial viability in areas where service would be beneficial more than profitable. For smaller compa- nies, where costs are primary consideration, it could prove prohibitive and limit them to low power solutions.
Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal to remove the TRR in rela- tion to Low Power outdoor base sta- tions in 3.8-4.2 GHz?	Confidential? – N We agree with this proposal. We would support this being applied to medium power as well as low power.
Question 4: In relation to our impact assessment, do you agree with our assessment of the potential impact of the further proposals we are making?	Confidential? – N We agree that the proposals will allow more users to ac- cess the band and open up for a more competitive envi- ronment. However, the increased cost could rule out new licen- sees from smaller companies from accessing the spec- trum.

Question	Your response
Question 5: In relation to our equality impact assessment, do you agree with our assessment of the potential impact of the further proposals we are making on specific groups of per- sons?	Confidential? – N We agree.
Question 6: In relation to our Welsh Language impact assessment, do you agree with our assessment of the po- tential impact of our further pro- posals on the Welsh language?	Confidential? – N We agree
Do you think our further proposals could be formulated or revised to en- sure, or increase, positive effects, or reduce/ eliminate any negative ef- fects, on opportunities to use the Welsh language and treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English?	
Question 7: Do you have any further comments on our proposals?	Confidential? – N No