

Dear all,

I am writing to express our sincere gratitude for inviting us to the recent open discussion event about adult content online organized by OFCOM.

We greatly appreciate your willingness to engage with us and **consider our perspectives before establishing new regulations**. It was enlightening to hear the various perspectives shared yesterday.

We are optimistic about the potential to develop **pragmatic solutions** that will continue to improve over time in the interest of minor protection, as we have successfully implemented on our end for several years.

We are eager to quickly validate our mechanisms with OFCOM to ensure they meet the required standards.

However, we would like to draw attention to a critical concern. It is imperative that OFCOM avoids the role of defining what is sexual, moral, or acceptable. This is beyond the scope of any administrative body's responsibilities. In European culture, nudity and sexuality have never inherently been pornographic. From our point of view, **OFKOM's role should be strictly limited to defining what constitutes pornography**, i.e a content that is potentially harmful to minors and therefore must be unequivocally prohibited for individuals under 18 years of age, and nothing more.

From our point of view, sexual pornography is the visual representation of direct or simulated contact with any genitals or anus. Extending this definition leads to a moral debate involving hazardous contextual interpretation. This definition must be immutable, free from subjective interpretation or variable sensitivities, and independent of context. The approach should remain strictly technical.

Once again, thank you for including us in this important discussion. We hope that our exchange will lead to a balanced and fair regulation that respects the diversity and freedom of expression of all actors while keeping minors out of adult platforms. We look forward to continuing our collaboration to develop effective and balanced regulations.

Yours sincerely,