## **Your response**

Question	Your response
<b>Question 1:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to issue guidance under GC C2.3, GC C1.3 and GC C1.5 to clarify:	Yes we agree with the proposals to issue guidance under the respective General Conditions.
(1) that the description of broadband services should be consistent and include a one- or two-word description of the underlying technology; and (2) that the use of the terms 'fibre' and 'full-fibre' in the information that is provided to customers should only be used to describe fibre-to-the-premises (FTTP) services.	However, we remain of the opinion that the requirement to describe the broadband service using the terms 'fibre' and 'full-fibre' for FTTP service only should extend beyond the point-of-sale information on services available and should be used only by FTTP providers in advertising as well. Failure to do so will leave it open for non-FTTP service providers to still use the terms 'fibre' and 'full fibre' in their advertising only to later then describe the underlying technology at point of sale as being 'part-fibre' with the potential to confuse customers more broadly.  Whilst we note advertising falls within the remit of the ASA, we believe that a joined-up approach in tackling the issue of improving broadband information for customers would have a greater impact on helping customers understand the different underlying technology when choosing a broadband service.
Question 2: Do you agree with our proposal for providers to give an explanation of the one- or two-word terms used to describe the service, in a way that can be easily accessed by customers?  Please provide evidence in support of your views.	Yes we agree with the proposal for providers to give an explanation of the terms used to describe the service.

Please complete this form in full and return to <a href="mailto:broadbandinformation@ofcom.org.uk">broadbandinformation@ofcom.org.uk</a>.