

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with the proposal to license drone equipment rather than to licence exempt? If you disagree, please provide the evidence that would support any disagreement with the proposals.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with the on the proposed authorisation approach for UAS? If you disagree, please provide the evidence that would support any disagreement with the proposals.</p>	<p>Yes. We concur this would be our preferred option.</p>
<p>Question 3: Do you have any comments on the proposed licence conditions?</p>	<p>Based upon our (and likely other operators) current system architectures and equipment we are content with the proposal. However we may need further clarification in the future regarding 4.25; supervision by a FRTOL holder, whether this is 'By Design' or 'Per Flight' for example</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you have any comments on the proposed list of equipment and associated conditions?</p>	<p>We welcome the harmonisation of allowed equipment and frequencies. We assume that use of equipment and frequency bands not covered this list could still be employed by on a per case, separate authorisation basis, if not license exempt.</p> <p>We understand the rationale for the 2.6GHz band preclusion. This could be challenging to implement on 'black box' User Equipment (UE). It also precludes the use of certain Mobile Network Operators who operate their 4G services in this band.</p>
<p>Question 5: Do you agree with Ofcom's assessment on whether to introduce UAS operator licences? If you disagree, please provide further information.</p>	<p>Yes</p>