## Your response

	<b>V</b>
Question	Your response
Question 1: (Section 2) Do you have any comments on our assessment of potential use cases, demand and deployment strategies for new uses of mmWave spectrum?	<i>Do not implement any equipment or strategy to enable 5g or similar wave spectrums</i>
Question 2: (Section 2) Do you have any comments on our proposed overall approach to mmWave spectrum (including our aim to make the 26 GHz and 40 GHz bands available for new uses on the same or similar timeframe)?	Do not implement any equipment or strategy to enable 5g or similar wave spectrums
Question 3: (Section 3) Do you agree with our approach of specifying high and low density areas in the UK, and authorising new uses differently in those areas?	No you have no authority to subject living people or animals to any spectrum including 5g which has unknown or known impact on health.
Question 4: (Section 3) Do you agree with our overall authorisation approach in high density areas for the 26 GHz band (i.e. to grant Shared Access licences on a first come, first served basis for the bottom 850 MHz of the 26 GHz band, (24.25-25.1 GHz), and to auction citywide licences for the rest of the 26 GHz band (25.1-27.5 GHz))?	No
Question 5: (Section 3) Do you agree with our overall authorisation approach in low density areas for the 26 GHz band (i.e. to grant Shared Access licences on a first come, first served basis)?	No
Question 6: (Section 3) Do you agree with adopting a similar approach to authorising the 40 GHz band as our proposals for the 26 GHz band, if we were to decide to re-allocate the 40 GHz band?	No
Question 7: (Section 4) Do you agree with our proposed methodology for identifying and defining high density areas?	No

Question 8: (Section 4) Do you agree with our proposed cut-off point of 40 high density areas?	No, all should be cut-off, No safe levels so all should be banned
Question 9: (Section 5) Do you agree with our proposal to clear the fixed links in and around high density areas from the 26 GHz band?	No
Question 10: (Section 5, Annex 8) Do you agree with our estimates of the cost of migrating fixed links into alternative spectrum bands?	No you should have no buget, it should not be implemented
Question 11: (Section 6) Do you agree with the proposed approaches we have outlined to manage coexistence between new 5G users and the different existing users in the 26 GHz band? In particular, do you have any views on our proposals to limit future satellite earth stations in this band to low density areas only, and to end access to this band for PMSE users with five years' notice?	No new system is not required and should not be implemented
Question 12:(Section 7) Do you agree with our initial assessment on which option for enabling the 40 GHz band for new uses would best achieve our objectives?	No
Question 13: (Section 7, Annex 8) Do you agree with our analysis of the impact on existing 40 GHz licensees, including our estimates of the cost of moving fixed links under the options involving revocation (options 2, 3 and 4)?	<i>No, no health and safety nor wildlife impact studies have been addressed</i>
Question 14: (Section 8) Do you have any comments on our high-level Shared Access proposals (including technical and non- technical licence conditions and proposed approach to setting fees)?	Yes, you should stop any roll out and address health and safety and wildlife impact before considering anything else
Question 15: (Section 8) Do you agree with the overall approach we have set out to coordination and coexistence between new Shared Access users in the 26 GHz band and existing users?	NO

Question 16: (Section 9) Do you have any comments on our initial thinking in relation to auction design?	This should be cancelled as this should not go ahead
Question 17: (Section 10) Do you have any	No licences should be issued to anyone without
comments on the licence duration options we	first published health and safety data over a
have considered in this section for new	generation 70 years along with wildlife impact
licences for the 26 GHz and 40 GHz bands that	studies if not cancelled or abandoned
we would auction?	immediately
Question 18: (Section 11) Do you agree with	No licences should be issued to anyone without
our assessment of potential competition	first published health and safety data over a
concerns and that it may be appropriate to	generation 70 years along with wildlife impact
impose a competition measure such as a	studies if not cancelled or abandoned
'precautionary cap'?	immediately

Please complete this form in full and return to <u>mmwave.allocation@ofcom.org.uk</u>