Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: (Section 2) Do you have any comments on our assessment of potential use cases, demand and deployment strategies for new uses of mmWave spectrum?	Is this response confidential? No The public health risk outweighs any potential benefits. Microwave radiation will make people sick that's a fact.
Question 2: (Section 2) Do you have any comments on our proposed overall approach to mmWave spectrum (including our aim to make the 26 GHz and 40 GHz bands available for new uses on the same or similar timeframe)?	Is this response confidential? – No The public health risk outweighs any potential benefits. Microwave radiation will make people sick that's a fact.
Question 3: (Section 3) Do you agree with our approach of specifying high and low density areas in the UK, and authorising new uses differently in those areas?	Is this response confidential? – No Absolutely not.
Question 4: (Section 3) Do you agree with our overall authorisation approach in high density areas for the 26 GHz band (i.e. to grant Shared Access licences on a first come, first served basis for the bottom 850 MHz of the 26 GHz band, (24.25-25.1 GHz), and to auction citywide licences for the rest of the 26 GHz band (25.1-27.5 GHz))?	Is this response confidential? – No Absolutely not.
Question 5: (Section 3) Do you agree with our overall authorisation approach in low density areas for the 26 GHz band (i.e. to grant Shared Access licences on a first come, first served basis)?	Is this response confidential? – No Absolutely not.
Question 6: (Section 3) Do you agree with adopting a similar approach to authorising the 40 GHz band as our proposals for the 26 GHz band, if we were to decide to re-allocate the 40 GHz band?	Is this response confidential? – No Absolutely not.

Question 7: (Section 4) Do you agree with our proposed methodology for identifying and defining high density areas?	Is this response confidential? – No Absolutely not.
Question 8: (Section 4) Do you agree with our proposed cut-off point of 40 high density areas?	Is this response confidential? – No Absolutely not.
	,
Question 9: (Section 5) Do you agree with our proposal to clear the fixed links in and around	Is this response confidential? – No
high density areas from the 26 GHz band?	Absolutely not.
Question 10: (Section 5, Annex 8) Do you agree with our estimates of the cost of	Is this response confidential? – No
migrating fixed links into alternative spectrum bands?	No.
Question 11: (Section 6) Do you agree with the proposed approaches we have outlined to	Is this response confidential? – No
manage coexistence between new 5G users and the different existing users in the 26 GHz band? In particular, do you have any views on	The public health risk outweighs any potential benefits. Microwave radiation will make people sick that's a fact. What sort of insurance does
our proposals to limit future satellite earth stations in this band to low density areas only,	Ofcom have when employees and members of the public become sick from their mmWave
and to end access to this band for PMSE users with five years' notice?	equipment?
Question 12:(Section 7) Do you agree with our initial assessment on which option for	Is this response confidential? – No
enabling the 40 GHz band for new uses would best achieve our objectives?	No
Question 13: (Section 7, Annex 8) Do you agree with our analysis of the impact on existing 40 GHz licensees, including our	Is this response confidential? – No No
estimates of the cost of moving fixed links under the options involving revocation (options 2, 3 and 4)?	

Question 14: (Section 8) Do you have any comments on our high-level Shared Access proposals (including technical and non-technical licence conditions and proposed approach to setting fees)?	Is this response confidential? – No The public health risk outweighs any potential benefits. Microwave radiation will make people sick that's a fact.
Question 15: (Section 8) Do you agree with the overall approach we have set out to coordination and coexistence between new Shared Access users in the 26 GHz band and existing users?	Is this response confidential? – No No
Question 16: (Section 9) Do you have any comments on our initial thinking in relation to auction design?	Is this response confidential? – No The public health risk outweighs any potential benefits. Microwave radiation will make people sick that's a fact.
Question 17: (Section 10) Do you have any comments on the licence duration options we have considered in this section for new licences for the 26 GHz and 40 GHz bands that we would auction?	Is this response confidential? – No The public health risk outweighs any potential benefits. Microwave radiation will make people sick that's a fact.
Question 18: (Section 11) Do you agree with our assessment of potential competition concerns and that it may be appropriate to impose a competition measure such as a 'precautionary cap'?	Is this response confidential? – No No

Please complete this form in full and return to mmwave.allocation@ofcom.org.uk