Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you have comments on the overall approach to the review?	Seems reasonable
Question 2: Have we captured the major trends that are likely to impact spectrum management over the next ten years?	Confidential? – Y /
Question 3: Could any of the future technologies we have identified in Annex 6, or any others, have disruptive implications for how spectrum is managed in the future? When might those implications emerge?	Yes I'm very concerned about general increase in broadband noise across Radio Spectrum . the noise rise is a concern and leads to higher and higher powers in use this is counter productive.
Question 4: Do you agree that there is likely to be greater demand for local access to spectrum in the future? Do you agree with our proposal to consider further options for localised spectrum access when authorising new access to spectrum?	Not so sure , with different coding schemes and LEO's and HIPS short range stuff can be handled with releaseing big chunks .
Question 5: Do you agree with the actual and perceived barriers identified for innovation in new wireless technologies, and our proposed ways of tackling those?	NO Do not agree that interference levels should be increased, allowing mutual interfence will lead to disputes particularly with loss of service.
Question 6: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to improve our outreach and reporting activities, and spectrum information tools? • Are there additional ways that Ofcom could better engage with existing and future users and providers of wireless communications? • Please explain any specific areas where you believe more or better provision of information could provide value to stakeholders	Yes, I think Interference aspects should be more open and data accessible in Public sphere, that is Domestic / CPE equipment provided by SKY and other broadcasters 9eg Satellite Downlink) frequencies in use and susptability issues should be documented and available. In fact anything on Market should have publically accessible information. I am not asking for greater regulation just to publish accessible known data.
Question 7: Do you agree that it is important to make more spectrum available for innovation before its long-term use is certain?	Yes of course for innovation , but Pioneering work should allow UK Industry to blossom and in the early days this incubator technology and

Do you have any comments about our proposed approach to doing this?	deployment should eb protected to avboid extensive re-engineering of product by overseas competitors (as occurred with 3G and 4G)
Question 8: Do you agree that it is important to encourage spectrum users to be 'good neighbours' to ensure more efficient use of the spectrum? Do you agree with our proposals to: a) increase realism in coexistence analysis at a national and international level? b) encourage spectrum users to be more resilient to interference? c) ensure an efficient balance between the level of interference protection given to one service and the flexibility for others to transmit? Do you have any comments on which of these will be the most important?	Confidential? – Y / Nyes , but mechanism for arbritation is seesential and I think this 'good neighbour' policy will not work where is the teeth and how will it be policed your expectibg users / subscribers to provide there own con data in perhaps acrimonious circumstances.
Question 9: Are there any other issues or potential future challenges that should be considered as part of this strategy?	Yes , need to have performance data of equipment and handheld devices accessible and in public domeain .
Question 10: Do you agree that continued use of our existing spectrum management tools (as set out in sections 4-7) will be relevant and important for promoting our objectives in the future, in light of future trends?	Yes , buit how to fund others tools , who pays ? for a mututal interfence 'good neighbour tool ?
Question 11: Is there anything else we should be considering doing, or doing differently, to promote our objectives?	Confidential? – Y / N