

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you have comments on the overall approach to the review?</p>	<p>Yes. You are failing to audit existing spectrum use with a commitment to free up spectrum by eliminating duplication of broadcasting.</p>
<p>Question 2: Have we captured the major trends that are likely to impact spectrum management over the next ten years?</p>	<p>Probably. Not an expert!</p>
<p>Question 3: Could any of the future technologies we have identified in Annex 6, or any others, have disruptive implications for how spectrum is managed in the future? When might those implications emerge?</p>	<p>Don't really know. Beyond my knowledge!</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you agree that there is likely to be greater demand for local access to spectrum in the future? Do you agree with our proposal to consider further options for localised spectrum access when authorising new access to spectrum?</p>	<p>Yes. Mainly internet based – and it requires a lot more spectrum to be allocated to it to make it work.</p>
<p>Question 5: Do you agree with the actual and perceived barriers identified for innovation in new wireless technologies, and our proposed ways of tackling those?</p>	<p>No. Continuing to allocate vast amounts of spectrum to terrestrial TV is ridiculous. Satellite and internet delivered TV now reaches over 90% of homes – it's time to turn off terrestrial TV and reallocate bandwidth to increase internet capacity be it 4,5 or N g technology.</p>
<p>Question 6: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to improve our outreach and reporting activities, and spectrum information tools?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are there additional ways that Ofcom could better engage with existing and future users and providers of wireless communications? • Please explain any specific areas where you believe more or better provision of information could provide value to stakeholders 	<p>Yes.</p>
<p>Question 7: Do you agree that it is important to make more spectrum available for innovation before its long-term use is certain?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>

<p>Do you have any comments about our proposed approach to doing this?</p>	
<p>Question 8: Do you agree that it is important to encourage spectrum users to be 'good neighbours' to ensure more efficient use of the spectrum? Do you agree with our proposals to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) increase realism in coexistence analysis at a national and international level? b) encourage spectrum users to be more resilient to interference? c) ensure an efficient balance between the level of interference protection given to one service and the flexibility for others to transmit? <p>Do you have any comments on which of these will be the most important?</p>	<p>Yes. It's essential there is discipline in spectrum use.</p>
<p>Question 9: Are there any other issues or potential future challenges that should be considered as part of this strategy?</p>	<p>Not that I am aware of.</p>
<p>Question 10: Do you agree that continued use of our existing spectrum management tools (as set out in sections 4-7) will be relevant and important for promoting our objectives in the future, in light of future trends?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>
<p>Question 11: Is there anything else we should be considering doing, or doing differently, to promote our objectives?</p>	<p>Yes. Turn off terrestrial TV and migrate to satellite/4/5g.</p>