## Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you have comments on the overall approach to the review?	No
Question 2: Have we captured the major trends that are likely to impact spectrum management over the next ten years?	Yes
Question 3: Could any of the future technologies we have identified in Annex 6, or any others, have disruptive implications for how spectrum is managed in the future? When might those implications emerge?	Yes self-configuring networks have implications already. Also business models of emerging spectrum users may imply disruption, through demands for more granularity for spectrum management and responsiveness of the spectrum management process.
Question 4: Do you agree that there is likely to be greater demand for local access to spectrum in the future? Do you agree with our proposal to consider further options for localised spectrum access when authorising new access to spectrum?	Yes. For small commercial UAV businesses, a local approach fits their business cases well. Their usage is not continuous geographically across the UK or continuous in time.
Question 5: Do you agree with the actual and perceived barriers identified for innovation in new wireless technologies, and our proposed ways of tackling those?	Yes
<ul> <li>Question 6: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to improve our outreach and reporting activities, and spectrum information tools?</li> <li>Are there additional ways that Ofcom could better engage with existing and future users and providers of wireless communications?</li> <li>Please explain any specific areas where you believe more or better provision of information could provide value to stakeholders</li> </ul>	Yes
Question 7: Do you agree that it is important to make more spectrum available for	Yes in the area of drone communication there is a need to develop solutions over time that

innovation before its long-term use is certain? Do you have any comments about our proposed approach to doing this?	requires spectrum to be made available for trials and development. Development is required before more standard solutions can be envisaged and implemented.
<ul> <li>Question 8: Do you agree that it is important to encourage spectrum users to be 'good neighbours' to ensure more efficient use of the spectrum? Do you agree with our proposals to: <ul> <li>a) increase realism in coexistence analysis at a national and international level?</li> <li>b) encourage spectrum users to be more resilient to interference?</li> <li>c) ensure an efficient balance between the level of interference protection given to one service and the flexibility for others to transmit?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you have any comments on which of these will be the most important?</li> </ul>	Yes on all three counts, modern transmission techniques are able to support resilience in many ways and allow for a softer boundary between working/not-working due to adaptive transmission.
Question 9: Are there any other issues or potential future challenges that should be considered as part of this strategy?	Νο
Question 10: Do you agree that continued use of our existing spectrum management tools (as set out in sections 4-7) will be relevant and important for promoting our objectives in the future, in light of future trends?	'[≫ REDACTED]
Question 11: Is there anything else we should be considering doing, or doing differently, to promote our objectives?	′[≫ REDACTED]