

Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: Please provide feedback on the additions, amendments and clarifications we have made to the wording of the licence condition to implement our decisions on the scope of the licence condition in our October 2020 Statement, giving reasons for your	Confidential: N The additions, amendments and clarifications that OFCOM have made rely upon the biased guidelines of ICNIRP, which have been proven by the Court of Appeal in Turin in 2020: https://www.globalresearch.ca/court-appeal-turin-confirms-link-between-head-tumour-mobile-phone-use/5701050?fbclid=IwAR16y9vofyj oW2uun4R PR932NC1A7AHdu3FHUaLI4B-pSJhzEWvzpipoY The ICNIRP have financial conflicts of interest in support of the telecoms industry and show bias regarding EMF emissions and health. The ICNIRP conflicts of interest have been fully exposed by two MEPs in the following report: https://www.michele-rivasi.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/ICNIRP-report-FINAL-JUNE-2020_EN.pdf?fbclid=IwAR1i5F4o3gBWJY7fPemReq9C8DzxlfSYV7ishdXylc-09bBEo6y4Fn-6n2Y The ICNIRP bias and conflicts of interest are discussed in this article in the
Question 2: Please provide feedback on	Internaltional Journal of Oncology: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28656257/ Public Health England is currently the object of two legal cases regarding EMR emissions:

on EMF Compliance and Enforcement', giving reasons for your response.

The fact that OFCOM have allowed for Telecoms companies to install 5G infrastructure without giving the public transparent opportunity and plenty of notice to comment on installations through a proper consultation with planning permission conditions is highly unacceptable when applications have been allowed without properly calculated RFR emissions. Environmental assessments of the local areas have also not been carried out on many applications, which is against planning protocol and the fact that applications can only be challenged on a 'siting and appearance basis only' is a blatant disregard for public health.

PHIRE Medical have produced this consensus statement, signed by 3500 medical doctors and bodies, stating that it is medical consensus that RFR from masts and devices causes harm to

health: https://phiremedical.org/2020-nir-consensus-statement-read/

It is therefore unacceptable for Ofcom to proceed with proliferation of masts emitting EMR which has been shown in the majority independent science to cause harm to health, including serious harm such as cancer, based on the ICNIRP guidelines which are considered biased and even fraudulent by some of the world's leading experts in the biochemical effects of RFR.

Question 3: Please provide feedback on the trial version of our EMF calculator, giving reasons for your response.

Confidential? - N

There is no link provided to the EMF calculator so how are we supposed to comment on it and comment on the calculations that you have deemed safe for public exposure? If you are basing the EMF calculator on ICNIRP levels, as discussed above, the ICNIRP are a biased organisation and their guidelines do not even scratch the surface on the harm that they are causing to public health. There is evidence being gathered that 5G is already causing considerable health issues to people who live close to an installed mast. If the ICNIRP are not being transparent about the true harm of EMF levels, then how can we trust the calculator?