

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Do you agree with our proposal to take steps to mitigate risks related to EMF and be in a position to hold licensees, installers and users to account if issues are identified? Please explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes agreed; we need to provide assurance to the General Public that we are exposing them to safe levels of EMF. If we don't the General Public will be concerned about their exposure to 'Radiation' (many don't know the distinction between non-ionising and ionising radiation).</p>
<p>Do you agree with our proposal (a) to include a condition in spectrum authorisations requiring compliance with the basic restrictions for general public exposure identified in the ICNIRP Guidelines; and (b) that this condition should apply to equipment operating at powers greater than 10 Watts?</p>	<p>Yes I agree that this is a sensible approach although it is possible to exceed the basic restrictions easily with a 5W hand-held radio. However we need to take a pragmatic approach.</p>
<p>Do you agree with our proposed guidance on EMF compliance and enforcement? Please explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>No! Take for example one of our sites where there are many high power transmitters; at most locations on our site exposure is below the basic restrictions however there are areas where they are exceeded. Where the exposure exceeds the basic restrictions we use control measures to limit employee/general public exposure. We would fail the 'total EMF levels from all radio equipment is below the basic restrictions' requirement even though we are safeguarding employee and general public health.</p>