

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Do you agree with our proposal to take steps to mitigate risks related to EMF and be in a position to hold licensees, installers and users to account if issues are identified? Please explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Do you agree with our proposal (a) to include a condition in spectrum authorisations requiring compliance with the basic restrictions for general public exposure identified in the ICNIRP Guidelines; and (b) that this condition should apply to equipment operating at powers greater than 10 Watts?</p>	<p>Yes. It is important that the site owner has these responsibilities and that he is able to show that the sum total of powers from all sources are below the limits. Obviously the computation can be performed by those technically capable.</p>
<p>Do you agree with our proposed guidance on EMF compliance and enforcement? Please explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes. It is clear that the potential exists for 5G to focus more power on users than previous generations of base stations through the use of MUMIMO. We were concerned that the license conditions did not make specific reference to this and allowed the license holder to transmit with no limit on the power density. As we said in a previous 5G consultation that it would not be easy to counter public concern about the safety of 5G since this loophole existed. The closure of this loophole is fundamental to ensuring public confidence in the safety of radio systems, in particular 5G, so that all technical commentators can support the deployment of 5G being safe.</p>