

## Consultation response form

| Question  | Your response  |
|---|--|
| <p><b>Question 3.1: Do you agree with our proposal to remove the obligation for telecoms providers to provide the local dialling facility? Please provide reasons for your response.</b></p>  | <p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>No. Wherever I am, I always dial only the local part of the number when I make a local call from a landline, and I would hate to lose the saving in time and effort that I gain from this. Most of my calls are made on landlines, and most are local. I do not use quickdial on my landline phones.</p> <p>Ofcom should advertise that the correct way to display a London number is in the form 020 8234 5678 and not, as is still frequently seen, 0208 234 5678, 0207 123 4567, or even 0203 123 4567, so London landline users learn that they can benefit from the economy and efficiency of dialling just the local eight digit number.</p> |
| <p><b>Question 3.2: Do you agree with our proposal to retain the provisions in the Numbering Plan which (i) allocate location significance to area codes and (ii) allow phone users to request out-of-area use of geographic numbers? Please provide reasons for your response.</b></p> | <p>Confidential? –N</p> <p>Yes, I recognise many area codes and I find it helpful to know where a number is based. If I have to choose between two otherwise equal services, I would always lean towards the one that uses an area code for a locality near me.</p>  |
| <p><b>Question 4.1: Do you agree with our proposal to modify the Numbering Plan to prohibit direct and indirect revenue sharing with the calling party for calls to all geographic and non-geographic numbers? Please provide reasons for your response.</b></p>                        | <p>Confidential? –N</p> <p>Yes. Revenue sharing incentivises the making of unwanted and nuisance calls.</p>  |

Please complete this form in full and return to [futureofnumberingteam@ofcom.org.uk](mailto:futureofnumberingteam@ofcom.org.uk).