

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 2.1: Do you agree with our overall approach to regulation in potentially competitive areas?</b></p>	<p>A good start but not imaginative enough. You should also look at projects like B4RN. They have provided 1000Mbit/s FTTP for just over £1000 per connection by using volunteer labour and they have made nearly 4000 connections. The proposed allowance is just over three times that. The difficulty has been raising the cash, which this money would solve.</p>
<p><b>Question 2.2: What is your view of our access and charge control proposals for wholesale local access services in potentially competitive areas?</b></p>	<p>Outside my knowledge</p>
<p><b>Question 2.3: What is your view of our access and charge control proposals for leased line services in potentially competitive areas?</b></p>	<p>Outside my knowledge</p>
<p><b>Question 3.1: Do you agree with our overall proposed approach to regulation in non-competitive areas?</b></p>	<p>Outside my knowledge</p>
<p><b>Question 3.2: Do you agree that a RAB charge control framework is appropriate for non-competitive areas? If not, please explain why you think an alternative is more appropriate.</b></p>	<p>Outside my knowledge</p>
<p><b>Question 3.3: Do you have any comments on the design of a RAB charge control for non-competitive areas?</b></p>	<p>Outside my knowledge</p>
<p><b>Question 3.4: Do you agree with our proposal to introduce dark fibre in non-competitive areas?</b></p>	<p>Not sure. Does it answer qu 5.1? If so then I do.</p>
<p><b>Question 4.1: Do you agree with our proposed overall approach to QoS?</b></p>	<p>For people struggling with 1Mbit/s your proposals might seem good. However even megabit speeds will seem archaic in a few years. Also your QoS does not touch on data limits, but only deals with speed. I am forced to use satellite but the service is inadequate</p>

	because of the low data limits. For a company it would be useless. You MUST add the specification that the service must provide unlimited data to be acceptable.
<b>Question 5.1: Do you agree with our overall approach to transitioning regulation as BT deploys its new fibre network?</b>	I think generally it was, and is, a mistake to allow BT to run the scheme. BT has proved itself rule-driven, expensive and unimaginative.
<b>Question 5.2: Do you agree with our proposal not to require BT to offer new forms of wholesale access to its copper network?</b>	Outside my knowledge