## **Your response**

Question	Your response
Question 1: (Section 4) Do you agree with our	Confidential? – N
proposals on the coverage obligations as set out in this section? Please give reasons supported by evidence for your views.	Defence does not have a view on this question
Question 2: (Section 5) Do you agree that we	Confidential? – N
have identified the correct competition concerns?	Defence does not have a view on this question
Question 3: (Section 5) Do you agree with our	Confidential? – N
assessment of these competition concerns, and our proposed measure for addressing them? Please give reasons supported by evidence for your views.	Defence does not have a view on this question
Question 4: (Section 6) Do you agree with our	Confidential? – Y
proposal to proceed with a conventional assignment stage?	[》 Redacted]
Question 5: (Section 7) Do you agree with our	Confidential? – N
proposal to use a CCA design for this award?	Defence does not have a view on this question
Question 6: (Section 7) Do you have any	Confidential? – N
comments on the proposed detailed rules for our CCA design?	Defence does not have a view on this question
Question 7: (Section 8) Do you agree with our	Confidential? – N
proposed approach to coexistence in the 700 MHz band?	Defence does not have a view on this question

Question 8: (Section 8) Do you have any comments on the proposed licence obligation and guidance note (annex 19)?	Confidential? – N  Defence does not have a view on this question
Question 9: (Section 9) Do you agree with our proposed approach to managing interim protections for registered 3.6-3.8 GHz band users?	Confidential? – Y [≫ Redacted]
Question 10: (Section 9) Do you agree with our 3.6-3.8 GHz in-band restriction zone proposals?	Confidential? – N  Defence considers 5kms is a more realistic restriction as already used for other bands where coordination is needed to protect military SGS sites from fixed links
Question 11: (Section 9) Do you agree with our view that we do not need to include any specific conditions in 3.6-3.8 GHz licences to mitigate the risk of adjacent band interference?	Confidential? – Y [≯ Redacted]
Question 12: (Section 10) Do you agree with the non-technical conditions that we propose to include in the licences to be issued after the award of the 700 MHz and 3.6-3.8 GHz bands?	Confidential? – N  Recognition of SGS and BBC monitoring should be considered and the protection they require to continue to operate
Question 13: (Section 11) Do you agree with the technical licence conditions we propose?	Confidential? – Y [⊁ Redacted]