

## Your response

Question	Your response
Question 2.1: What are your views on our general approach to regulation and geographic differentiation in this review?	Confidential? – Y / N
Question 3.1: What are your views on our approach to the geographic unit for our analysis?	Confidential? – Y / N
Question 3.2: What are your views on our approach to the threshold for considering a network to be present within a geographic unit?	Confidential? N Your ambitions are far too low. For the price of cross-rail or a part of the cost of HS2 all premises in the UK could be connected with 1000 Mbit/s fibre to the premises. This would benefit all people not just the few who might use those services. It should be state funded.
Question 3.3: What are your views on our approach to analysing existing, planned and potential future network rollout?	Confidential? N You are misguided. You concentrate only on speed. I have had satellite broadband for eight years due to being in a red zone. The speed is OK but the data limit is not. To state that 100Gb/mth is acceptable is to condemn your proposals to being obsolete before the end of ten years, or even five. Ever higher definition streaming, intelligent medical care and distant robotics for care for the aged are just three applications of many that will use up increasing data. Don't put off the inevitable. DO IT NOW and be done with it.
Question 3.4: What are your views on our approach to considering cases where specific services may be competitive but others are not, such as the CLA?	Confidential? – Y / N
Question 4.1: What are your views on the illustrative assessment of our approach?	Confidential? – Y / N