

Consultation questions

Q1 Do you agree with our assessment of the key issues involved to inform regulations in this area?
yes

Q2 Are there other 'access services' which you believe should be specified in any regulations?
advertisements

Q3 Do you have views on the relative importance of sign-presented programming and sign-interpreted programming? no

Q4 To what extent can or should regulations require usability features including (but not necessarily limited to): provision of information; accessible catalogues; and best practice relating to the creation, selection, scheduling and presentation of accessible programming? If you do not believe that these features should be required by the regulations, should the regulations require Ofcom's resulting code to give guidance on these issues? yes

Q5 Do you agree that audience benefit, cost, and practicability are appropriate grounds for differentiating services/content for the purposes of regulations? Are there other grounds on which you believe ODPS programmes/services should be differentiated (prioritised, excluded, or subject to different requirements)? There should be a level playing field for all, irrespective of disability.

Q6 Should the regulations impose more stringent requirements on public services broadcasters' ODPS than on ODPS provided by others? yes

Q7 Should the regulations limit accessibility requirements to programmes/services which have previously been broadcast with access services, or impose more stringent requirements on these programmes/services? Regardless of how many times the programme is shown, the same accessibility should be present.

Q8 Do you consider that ODPS programmes/services should be excluded from the full requirements on the grounds of audience size? If so, should there be different requirements for excluded programmes/services? No.

Q9 Should the regulations impose different accessibility requirements on ODPS made available via certain platforms, and if so which? Accessibility should be present, regardless of which platform.

Q10 Do you have any views or information on appropriate and available means of measuring the audience impact of ODPS? No.

Q11 Are there particular types/genres of programming which should be excluded from requirements, or subject to reduced requirements, on the grounds of limited audience benefit? Uncertain.

Q12 Do you consider that ODPS programmes/services should be excluded from the full requirements on the grounds of affordability? If so, should there be different requirements for excluded programmes/services? Affordability should not be an issue.

Q13 Do you have any views or information on appropriate and available means of quantifying: ODPS-specific revenue; and costs associated with ODPS access services? No.

Q14 If you are an ODPS provider, do you have information on the likely costs involved in providing access services on your ODPS? N.a.

Q15 Do you consider that ODPS programmes/services should be excluded from the full requirements on the grounds of technical difficulty? If so, should there be different requirements for excluded programmes/services? I fail to see why this should be the case.